



Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures

Advanced Approaches

For the quarter ended December 31, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DISCLOSURE MAP.....3

SCOPE OF APPLICATION.....4

CAPITAL STRUCTURE.....5

CAPITAL ADEQUACY.....5

CREDIT RISK.....7

RETAIL CREDIT RISK.....9

WHOLESALE CREDIT RISK..... 11

COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK..... 12

CREDIT RISK MITIGATION 15

SECURITIZATION..... 15

MARKET RISK OVERVIEW..... 18

EQUITY EXPOSURES IN THE BANKING BOOK..... 21

OPERATIONAL RISK OVERVIEW..... 22

INTEREST RATE RISK MANAGEMENT FOR THE BANKING BOOK..... 22

SUPPLEMENTARY LEVERAGE RATIO 23

MODEL RISK MANAGEMENT..... 24

APPENDIX..... 25

Important Presentation Information

These disclosures are required by regulatory capital rules set out by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve), and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) (collectively, U.S. banking regulators) in alignment with the Basel 3 regulatory capital framework. These disclosures provide qualitative and quantitative information about regulatory capital and risk-weighted assets (RWA) on a transition basis for the Advanced approaches, and should be read in conjunction with our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, the Consolidated Financial Statements for Bank Holding Companies – FR Y-9C, the Market Risk Regulatory Report for Institutions Subject to the Market Risk Capital Rule – FFIEC 102 and the Regulatory Reporting for Institutions Subject to the Advanced Capital Adequacy Framework — FFIEC 101 for the period ended December 31, 2017.

The Corporation’s Pillar 3 disclosures may include some financial information that has not been prepared under generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP). Certain information contained in the Pillar 3 disclosures is prepared pursuant to instructions in the U.S. Basel 3 Final Rule (Basel 3).

U.S. banking regulators permit certain Pillar 3 disclosure requirements to be addressed by their inclusion in the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Corporation. In such instances, incorporation into this report is made by reference to the relevant section(s) of the most recent Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) of the United States. This Pillar 3 report should be read in conjunction with the aforementioned reports as information regarding regulatory capital and risk management is largely contained in those filings. The table below indicates the location of such disclosures.

DISCLOSURE MAP

Pillar 3 Requirement	Description	Pillar 3 Report page reference	2017 Form 10-K page reference
Scope of Application	Corporate Overview	4	19
	Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Presentation	4	104
	Basel 3 Regulatory Capital Standards and Disclosures	4	45, 161
Capital Structure	Capital Structure	5	146, 150, 158, 160
Capital Adequacy	Capital Adequacy	5	45
	Regulatory Capital Ratios	6	45
	Risk-Weighted Assets	7	
Credit Risk	Credit Risk	7	54
	Organizational Structure and Responsibilities	8	41, 54
	Credit Risk Exposures	8	28, 54, 72, 92, 94, 104, 113, 122, 126, 138, 152
Retail Credit Risk	Retail Credit Risk	9	54, 104
	Retail Risk Rating System	9	
	Determining Retail Risk Parameters	10	54, 138
	Retail Credit Exposures	10	
Wholesale Credit Risk	Wholesale Credit Risk	11	54, 63
	Wholesale Risk Rating System	11	
	Determining Wholesale Risk Parameters	12	54, 84, 138
	Wholesale Credit Exposures	12	
Counterparty Credit Risk	Counterparty Credit Risk	12	113
	Valuation Adjustments	13	45, 54, 113, 171
	Risk Mitigation	13	104, 113, 147
	Credit Limits	13	41, 54
	Economic Capital	13	
	Collateral Valuation	14	104, 113, 171
	Counterparty Credit Exposures	14	
Wrong-Way Risk	14		
Credit Risk Mitigation	Credit Risk Mitigation	15	54
Securitization	Securitization	15	
	Risk Management	16	
	Due Diligence	16	
	Risk Mitigation	16	104, 140
	Securitization Exposures	17	104, 140
Market Risk	Market Risk Overview	18	76, 77
	Trading Book	18	
	Trading Risk Management	19	
	Regulatory VaR	19	
	Backtesting	19	
	Stressed Value-at-Risk	20	
	Incremental Risk Charge	20	
	Comprehensive Risk Measure	20	
	Trading Portfolio Stress Testing	21	41
Equity Exposures in Banking Book	Equity Exposures in Banking Book	21	
	Accounting and Valuation	21	104, 171
	Equity Exposures	22	
Operational Risk Overview	Operational Risk Overview	22	
	Advanced Measurement Approach	22	45, 83
Interest Rate Risk Management for the Banking Book	Interest Rate Risk Management for the Banking Book	22	81
Supplementary Leverage Ratio	Supplementary Leverage Ratio	23	
Model Risk Management	Model Risk Management	24	

SCOPE OF APPLICATION

Corporate Overview

Bank of America Corporation (together, with its consolidated subsidiaries, Bank of America, we or us) is a Delaware corporation, a bank holding company (BHC) and a financial holding company. When used in this report, “the Corporation” may refer to Bank of America Corporation individually, Bank of America Corporation and its subsidiaries or certain of Bank of America Corporation’s subsidiaries or affiliates. Bank of America is one of the world’s largest financial institutions, serving individual consumers, small- and middle-market businesses, institutional investors, large corporations and governments with a full range of banking, investing, asset management and other financial and risk management products and services. Our principal executive offices are located in the Bank of America Corporate Center, 100 North Tryon Street, Charlotte, North Carolina 28255.

Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Corporation and its majority-owned subsidiaries, and those variable interest entities (VIEs) where the Corporation is the primary beneficiary. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. Results of operations of acquired companies are included from the dates of acquisition and for VIEs, from the dates that the Corporation became the primary beneficiary. Assets held in an agency or fiduciary capacity are not included in the Consolidated Financial Statements. The Corporation accounts for investments in companies for which it owns a voting interest and for which it has the ability to exercise significant influence over operating and financing decisions using the equity method of accounting. These investments are included in other assets. Equity method investments are subject to impairment testing and the Corporation’s proportionate share of income or loss is included in other income.

The preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts and disclosures. Realized results could differ from those estimates and assumptions. For additional information, refer to *Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Principles* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Basel 3 Regulatory Capital Standards and Disclosures

Basel 3 is a global regulatory capital framework developed by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. Basel 3 is composed of three parts, or pillars. Pillar 1 addresses capital adequacy and provides minimum capital requirements. Pillar 2 requires supervisory review of capital adequacy assessments and strategies. Pillar 3 promotes market discipline through prescribed regulatory public disclosures on capital structure, capital adequacy and RWA.

On January 1, 2014, the Corporation and its affiliates became subject to Basel 3, which includes certain transition provisions through January 1, 2019. The Corporation and its primary affiliated banking entity, Bank of America, National Association (BANA), are Advanced approaches institutions under Basel 3. Basel 3 updated the composition of capital and established a Common equity tier 1 capital ratio. Common equity tier 1 capital primarily includes common stock, retained earnings and accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI). Basel 3 revised minimum capital ratios and buffer requirements, added a supplementary leverage ratio (SLR) and addressed the adequately capitalized minimum requirements under the Prompt Corrective Action (PCA) framework. Finally, Basel 3 established two methods of calculating RWA, the Standardized approach and the Advanced approaches. The composition of regulatory capital under Basel 3 is subject to transition rules described in *Capital Management* within the Management Discussion & Analysis (MD&A) section in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Basel 3 also requires Advanced approaches institutions to disclose a SLR. The numerator of the SLR is quarter-end Basel 3 Tier 1 capital reflective of Basel 3 numerator transition provisions. The denominator is total leverage exposure based on the daily average of the sum of on-balance sheet exposures less permitted Tier 1 deductions, as well as the simple average of certain off-balance sheet exposures, as of the end of each month in a quarter.

As an Advanced approaches institution, the Corporation is required to report regulatory risk-based capital ratios and RWA under both the Standardized and Advanced approaches. The approach that yields the lower ratio is used to assess capital adequacy including under the PCA framework.

Information contained in this report is presented in accordance with the Basel 3 rules for RWA and capital measurement under the Advanced approaches (Basel 3 Advanced – Transition), and follows the Pillar 3 disclosure requirements for the quantitative and qualitative presentation of data. Information presented herein may differ from similar information presented in the Consolidated Financial Statements and other publicly available disclosures. Unless specified otherwise, all amounts and information are presented in conformity with the definitions, rules and requirements of Basel 3. For additional information on Basel 3 and management of the Corporation’s regulatory capital, refer to *Capital Management* within the MD&A section and *Note 16 – Regulatory Requirements and Restrictions* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

CAPITAL STRUCTURE

Under Basel 3, Total capital consists of two tiers of capital, Tier 1 and Tier 2. Tier 1 capital is further composed of Common equity tier 1 capital and additional tier 1 capital. Common equity tier 1 capital primarily includes common stock, retained earnings and AOCI. Goodwill, disallowed intangible assets and certain deferred tax assets are excluded from Common equity tier 1 capital. Additional tier 1 capital primarily includes qualifying non-cumulative preferred stock. Certain deferred tax assets are also excluded from additional Tier 1. Tier 2 capital primarily consists of qualifying subordinated debt, a limited portion of eligible credit reserves and trust preferred securities (Trust Securities) subject to phase-out. The Corporation's Total capital is the sum of Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital.

The following table presents the capital composition as measured under Basel 3 Advanced – Transition as of December 31, 2017.

Table 1 - Capital Composition under Basel 3 Advanced – Transition	December 31, 2017
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	
Total common shareholders' equity	\$ 244,823
Goodwill	(68,576)
Deferred tax assets arising from net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards	(5,244)
Adjustments for amounts recorded in accumulated OCI attributed to AFS Securities and defined benefit postretirement plans	879
Adjustments for amounts recorded in accumulated OCI attributed to certain cash flow hedges	831
Intangibles, other than mortgage servicing rights and goodwill	(1,395)
DVA related to liabilities and derivatives	957
Defined benefit pension fund assets	(910)
Other	(302)
Common equity tier 1 capital	171,063
Qualifying preferred stock, net of issuance cost	22,323
Deferred tax assets arising from net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards	(1,311)
Defined benefit pension fund assets	(228)
DVA related to liabilities and derivatives under transition	239
Other	(590)
Total Tier 1 capital	191,496
Long-term debt qualifying as Tier 2 capital	22,938
Eligible credit reserves included in Tier 2 capital	2,272
Nonqualifying capital instruments subject to phase out from Tier 2 capital	1,893
Other	(70)
Total Basel 3 Advanced capital	\$ 218,529

For additional information on the components of common shareholders' equity, refer to Schedule A "Advanced Approaches Regulatory Capital" in Bank of America's December 31, 2017 Regulatory Capital Reporting for Institutions Subject to the Advanced Capital Adequacy Framework — FFIEC 101. For terms and conditions of common stock and preferred stock, refer to *Note 13 – Shareholders' Equity* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K. For the related breakdown of AOCI, refer to *Note 14 – Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K. For additional information on goodwill and intangibles, refer to *Note 8 – Goodwill and Intangible Assets* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K. For additional information on Trust Securities and Subordinated debt, refer to *Note 11 – Long-term Debt* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

CAPITAL ADEQUACY

The Corporation manages its capital position to ensure capital is more than adequate to support its business activities and to maintain capital, risk and risk appetite commensurate with one another. Additionally, we seek to maintain safety and soundness at all times, even under adverse scenarios, take advantage of organic growth opportunities, ensure obligations to creditors and counterparties are met, maintain ready access to financial markets, continue to serve as a credit intermediary, remain a source of strength for our subsidiaries and satisfy current and future regulatory capital requirements. Capital management is integrated into our risk and governance processes, as capital is a key consideration in the development of our strategic plan, risk appetite and risk limits. We conduct an Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP) on a periodic basis. The ICAAP is a forward-looking assessment of our projected capital needs and resources, incorporating earnings, balance sheet and risk forecasts under baseline and adverse economic and market conditions. We utilize periodic stress tests to assess the potential impacts to our balance sheet, earnings, regulatory capital and liquidity under a variety of stress scenarios. We perform qualitative risk assessments to identify and assess material risks not adequately captured in our forecasts or stress tests. We assess the potential capital impacts of proposed changes to regulatory capital requirements. Management evaluates ICAAP results and provides documented assessments of the adequacy of our capital guidelines and capital position to the Corporation's Board of Directors (the Board) or its committees.

The Federal Reserve requires BHCs to submit a capital plan and requests for capital actions on an annual basis, consistent with the rules governing the Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (CCAR) capital plan. The CCAR capital plan is the central element of the Federal Reserve's approach to ensure that large BHCs have adequate capital and robust processes for managing their capital. For additional information on CCAR and Capital Planning, refer to *Capital Management* within the MD&A section in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Regulatory Capital Ratios

The following table presents risk-based capital ratios and related information as well as the regulatory minimum and "well-capitalized" ratio requirements under Basel 3 Advanced – Transition and Basel 3 Standardized – Transition for the Corporation and its major national bank subsidiaries: BANA and Bank of America California, National Association as of December 31, 2017.

	Bank of America Corporation		Bank of America, N.A.		Bank of America California, N.A.	
	Basel 3	Basel 3	Basel 3	Basel 3	Basel 3	Basel 3
	Standardized	Advanced	Standardized	Advanced	Standardized	Advanced
	Transition		Transition		Transition	
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>						
Regulatory Capital						
Common equity tier 1 capital	\$	171,063	\$	171,063	\$	3,215
Tier 1 capital		191,496		191,496		3,215
Total capital		227,427		218,529		3,287
Assets						
Risk-weighted assets ⁴	\$	1,433,517	\$	1,449,222	\$	8,487
Adjusted quarterly average assets ¹		2,224,198		2,224,198		20,032
Capital Ratios						
Common equity tier 1 capital		11.9%		11.8%		37.9%
Tier 1 capital		13.4		13.2		37.9
Total capital		15.9		15.1		38.7
Tier 1 leverage		8.6		8.6		16.0

	Bank Holding Company	Insured Depository Institutions
	Regulatory Minimum ²	Regulatory Minimum ^{2,3}
Capital Ratios		
Common equity tier 1 capital	7.25%	5.75%
Tier 1 capital	8.75	7.25
Total capital	10.75	9.25
Tier 1 leverage	4.00	4.00

¹ Reflects adjusted average assets for the three months ended December 31, 2017.

² The regulatory minimum amount for December 31, 2017 includes a transition capital conservation buffer of 1.25 percent and a transition global systemically important bank (G-SIB) surcharge of 1.50 percent for the bank holding company only. The 2017 countercyclical capital buffer is zero.

³ To be "well-capitalized" under the current U.S. banking regulatory agency definitions, an insured depository institution must maintain a CET1 ratio equal to or greater than 65 percent, a Tier 1 capital ratio equal to or greater than 8 percent, a Total capital ratio equal to or greater than 10 percent, and a Tier 1 leverage ratio equal to or greater than 5 percent.

⁴ During the fourth quarter of 2017, we obtained approval from U.S. banking regulators to use our internal models methodology (IMM) to calculate counterparty credit risk-weighted assets for derivatives under the Advanced approaches.

As of December 31, 2017, Bank of America, all of its U.S. banking subsidiaries and other regulated subsidiaries were "well-capitalized" and exceeded all capital requirements to which each was subject, including applicable capital buffers. As of December 31, 2017, Bank of America's capital conservation buffer was 7.08 percent, in excess of its required transition capital conservation buffer (including the G-SIB surcharge) of 2.75 percent. As a result, Bank of America is not subject to payout ratio limitations on distributions or discretionary bonus payments under Basel 3 requirements. The aggregate amount of surplus capital of subsidiaries engaged in the insurance business was \$101 million. For additional information on regulatory capital and capital ratios for the Corporation, refer to *Capital Management* within the MD&A section in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

For additional information on the capital conservation and countercyclical capital buffers, refer to *Capital Management* within the MD&A section in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K, Schedule A "Advanced Approaches Regulatory Capital" in Bank of America's December 31, 2017 Regulatory Capital Reporting for Institutions Subject to the Advanced Capital Adequacy Framework — FFIEC 101 and Schedule HC-R "Regulatory Capital" in Bank of America's December 31, 2017 Consolidated Financial Statements for Bank Holding Companies – FR Y-9C. For information on retained income, refer to Schedule HI "Consolidated Report of Income" in the Consolidated Financial Statements for Bank Holding Companies – FR Y-9C and Schedule HC-R "Regulatory Capital" in Bank of America's December 31, 2017 Consolidated Financial Statements for Bank Holding Companies – FR Y-9C.

Risk-Weighted Assets

Basel 3 Advanced approaches include measures of credit risk, market risk, operational risk and risks related to the credit valuation adjustment (CVA) for over-the-counter (OTC) derivative exposures. The Advanced approaches rely on internal analytical models to measure risk weights for credit risk exposures and allow the use of models to estimate the exposure at default (EAD) for certain exposure types. Market risk applies to covered positions which include trading assets and liabilities, foreign exchange exposures and commodity exposures. Market risk capital is modeled for general market risk as well as specific risk for products where specific risk regulatory approval has been granted; in the absence of specific risk model approval, standard specific risk charges apply. For securitization exposures, institutions are permitted to use the Supervisory Formula Approach (SFA) and would use the Simplified Supervisory Formula Approach (SSFA) if the SFA is unavailable for a particular exposure. Credit risk exposures are measured using internal ratings-based models to determine the applicable risk weight by estimating the probability of default (PD), loss-given default (LGD) and, in certain instances, EAD. The internal analytical models primarily rely on internal historical default and loss experience. Operational risk is measured using internal analytical models which rely on both internal and external operational loss experience and data. The calculations require management to make estimates, assumptions and interpretations, including with respect to the probability of future events based on historical experience. Actual results could differ from those estimates and assumptions. Under the Federal Reserve's reservation of authority, they may require us to hold an amount of capital greater than otherwise required under the capital rules if they determine that our risk-based capital requirement using our internal analytical models is not commensurate with our credit, market, operational or other risks. The following table presents RWA by risk and exposure type under Basel 3 Advanced – Transition as of December 31, 2017.

Wholesale	
Corporate	\$ 336,620
Bank	13,712
Sovereign	9,299
Income-Producing Real Estate (IPRE)	53,475
High Volatility Commercial Real Estate (HVCRE)	3,614
Total Wholesale RWA	416,720
Retail	
Residential Mortgage	90,321
Qualifying Revolving Exposures	70,494
Other Retail Exposures	30,330
Total Retail RWA	191,145
Counterparty	
Eligible Margin Loans and Repo-Style Transactions	22,814
OTC Derivatives	38,603
Cleared Transactions	6,954
Unsettled Transactions	416
Total Counterparty RWA	68,787
Securitization Exposures ¹	39,150
Equity Exposures	42,749
Credit Risk Supervisory Scalar	48,525
CVA	33,722
Market Risk	58,229
Operational Risk	500,000
All Other ²	50,195
Total RWA	\$ 1,449,222

¹Securitization Exposures represent Banking Book only.

²Primarily consists of deferred tax assets, non-material portfolios and other assets not subject to the application of internal models to derive credit RWAs under the Advanced approaches.

CREDIT RISK

Credit risk is the risk of loss arising from the inability or failure of a borrower or counterparty to meet its obligations. Economic or market disruptions, insufficient credit loss reserves or concentration of credit risk may result in an increase in the provision for credit losses, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. A number of our products expose us to credit risk, including loans, letters of credit, derivatives and debt securities. The financial condition of our consumer and commercial borrowers and counterparties could adversely affect our earnings.

We manage credit risk based on the risk profile of the borrower or counterparty, repayment sources, the nature of underlying collateral and other support given current events, conditions and expectations. We classify our portfolios as either consumer or commercial and monitor credit risk in each.

Global and U.S. economic conditions may impact our credit portfolios. To the extent economic or market disruptions occur, such disruptions would likely increase the risk that borrowers or counterparties would default or become delinquent on their obligations to us. Increases in delinquencies and default rates could adversely affect our consumer credit card, home equity, residential mortgage and purchased credit-impaired portfolios through increased charge-offs and provision for credit losses. Additionally, increased credit risk could adversely affect our commercial loan portfolios with weakened customer and collateral positions.

For additional information on the assessment of credit risk as it relates to loans and leases, refer to *Credit Risk Management* within the MD&A section in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Organizational Structure and Responsibilities

The Corporation takes a comprehensive approach to risk management with a defined Risk Framework and an articulated Risk Appetite Statement which are approved annually by the Enterprise Risk Committee (ERC) and the Board. Our Risk Framework is the foundation for comprehensive management of the risks facing the Corporation. The Risk Framework sets forth clear roles, responsibilities and accountability for the management of risk and provides a blueprint for how the Board, through delegation of authority to committees and executive officers, establishes risk appetite and associated limits for our activities. Our Risk Appetite Statement is intended to ensure that the Corporation maintains an acceptable risk profile by providing a common framework and a comparable set of measures for senior management and the Board to clearly indicate the level of risk the Corporation is willing to accept. Risk appetite is aligned with the strategic, capital and financial operating plans to maintain consistency with the Corporation's strategy and financial resources.

The Audit Committee oversees the qualifications, performance and independence of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, the performance of the Corporation's corporate audit function, the integrity of the Corporation's consolidated financial statements, compliance by the Corporation with legal and regulatory requirements, and makes inquiries of management or the Corporate General Auditor (CGA) to determine whether there are scope or resource limitations that impede the ability of Corporate Audit to execute its responsibilities. The Audit Committee is also responsible for overseeing compliance risk pursuant to the New York Stock Exchange listing standards.

The ERC has primary responsibility for oversight of the Risk Framework and key risks facing the Corporation. It approves the Risk Framework and the Risk Appetite Statement and further recommends these documents to the Board for approval. The ERC oversees senior management's responsibilities for the identification, measurement, monitoring and control of key risks facing the Corporation. The ERC may consult with other Board committees on risk-related matters.

Corporate Audit and the CGA maintain their independence from the Front Line Units, independent risk management, and other control functions by reporting directly to the Audit Committee or the Board. The CGA administratively reports to the CEO. Corporate Audit provides independent assessment and validation through testing of key processes and controls across the Corporation. Corporate Audit includes Credit Review which periodically tests and examines credit portfolios and processes.

For additional information on the Corporation's credit risk management policies, refer to *Managing Risk* and *Credit Risk Management* within the MD&A section in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Credit Risk Exposures

Credit risk exposures (calculated according to exposure type) as reported under GAAP can be found within the Corporation's most recent SEC filings. For additional information, the specific references related to credit risk are listed below.

Accounting Policies – For information on internal policies governing past due and delinquency status, nonaccrual, allowance for credit losses, and charge-offs of uncollectible accounts, refer to *Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Principles* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Average Balances – For average asset balances, refer to *Average Balances and Interest Rates – FTE Basis* table in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Outstanding Loans and Leases – The Corporation utilizes a Consumer and Commercial portfolio segmentation approach to present information related to loans and leases. For additional information on loans and leases including nonperforming, past due and impaired loans, refer to *Credit Risk Management* within the MD&A section, *Note 4 – Outstanding Loans and Leases* and *Statistical Table VI – Selected Loan Maturity Data* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Credit Risk Management – For additional information on the change in allowance for credit losses, including charge-offs, recoveries, provision for credit losses and a reconciliation of changes in allowance for loan and lease losses (ALLL), refer to *Allowance for Credit Losses* within the MD&A section, *Note 5 – Allowance for Credit Losses* and *Statistical Table IV – Allowance for Credit Losses* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Investment Securities – For additional information on securities, refer to *Note 3 – Securities* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Derivatives – For additional information on the derivative positions of the Corporation, refer to *Note 2 – Derivatives* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K. For additional information on purchased and sold credit derivatives, collateral held and gross positive fair value, refer to Schedule HC-L “Derivatives and Off-Balance Sheet Items” in Bank of America’s December 31, 2017 Consolidated Financial Statements for Bank Holding Companies – FR Y-9C.

Off-Balance Sheet Exposures – For additional information on the off-balance sheet exposures for the Corporation, refer to *Note 12 – Commitments and Contingencies* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Credit Exposures by Geographic / Industry Distribution – For additional information on the geographic and industry distribution of credit exposures categorized by exposure type, refer to *Credit Risk Management* within the MD&A section in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

RETAIL CREDIT RISK

Credit risk management for the consumer portfolio begins with initial underwriting and continues throughout a borrower’s credit cycle. Statistical techniques in conjunction with experiential judgment are used in all aspects of portfolio management including underwriting, product pricing, risk appetite, setting credit limits, and establishing operating processes and metrics to quantify and balance risks and returns. Statistical models are built using detailed behavioral information from external sources such as credit bureaus and/or internal historical experience. These models are a component of our consumer credit risk management process and are used in part to assist in making both new and ongoing credit decisions, as well as portfolio management strategies, including authorizations and line management, collection practices and strategies, and determination of the ALLL and allocated capital for credit risk.

The Corporation monitors credit quality within its Consumer Real Estate, Credit Card and Other Consumer portfolio segments based on primary credit quality indicators. For more information on the portfolio segments, refer to *Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Principles* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K. Within the Consumer Real Estate portfolio segment, the primary credit quality indicators are refreshed loan-to-value (LTV) and refreshed FICO score. Refreshed LTV measures the carrying value of the loan as a percentage of the value of the property securing the loan, refreshed quarterly. Home equity loans are evaluated using combined LTV which measures the carrying value of the Corporation’s loan and available line of credit combined with any outstanding senior liens against the property as a percentage of the value of the property securing the loan, refreshed quarterly. The FICO score measures the creditworthiness of the borrower based on the financial obligations of the borrower and the borrower’s credit history. FICO scores are typically refreshed quarterly or more frequently. Certain borrowers (e.g., borrowers that have had debts discharged in a bankruptcy proceeding) may not have their FICO scores updated. FICO scores are also a primary credit quality indicator for the Credit Card and Other Consumer portfolio segment and the business card portfolio within U.S. small business commercial.

Retail exposures are categorized as residential mortgage, qualifying revolving exposures and other retail exposures. A residential mortgage exposure is a retail exposure (other than a securitization exposure, equity exposure, presold construction loan or statutory multifamily mortgage exposure) that: (1) is primarily secured by a first or subsequent lien on a one-to-four family residential property; or (2) has an original and outstanding amount of \$1 million or less and is primarily secured by a first or subsequent lien on residential property that is not one-to-four family. Qualifying Revolving Exposures are exposures that are revolving, unsecured and unconditionally cancellable by the Corporation with a maximum exposure amount of \$100,000. In most cases credit card lines and overdraft lines related to checking accounts are classified as qualified revolving exposures. Other retail exposures include exposures to individuals for non-business purposes that do not meet the dollar threshold for qualifying revolving exposures as well as term loans, margin loans, auto loans and leases, student loans and loans to individuals for business purposes up to the amount of \$1 million for a single borrower.

Retail Risk Rating System

When assessing the credit risk for retail exposures, the Corporation uses a segmentation process where exposures are managed as part of a group with homogeneous risk characteristics, not on an individual exposure basis. The Corporation has defined the segmentation methodology as the optimal grouping of risk parameters into clusters. The grouping process involves a statistical test to identify exposures whose risk parameters are collectively proximate to each other and simultaneously distant from the next identified cluster. Groupings are performed for each PD, EAD and LGD model at a product level. Through this segmentation method, we define homogeneous risk characteristics as groups of exposures that have similar risk parameters. A value for the PD parameter is calculated for each segment, which is then applied towards all exposures within that segment. This process ultimately determines the parameter ranges and capital allocations for Basel 3 RWA calculations.

Determining Retail Risk Parameters

Retail PD is the Corporation's empirical estimate of the average one-year default rate for the segment based on its underlying risk characteristics and composition. The retail segmentation generally falls along product, country and delinquency status lines. Historical retail segment performance is viewed over a mix of economic conditions as the best available data for PD estimation. Retail portfolio PD parameters are organized along the Basel 3 retail subcategory definitions of residential mortgage, qualified revolving exposure and other retail. Within these subcategories and the segmentation mentioned above, data is summarized by various risk drivers.

To estimate PDs for the retail portfolios, the Corporation utilizes a regression model to formulate the relationship between segment attributes and credit performance. The exposure data is further summarized by segment and risk attribute through the use of static pools. These pools help determine composite default rates over a one-year time horizon.

Retail LGD is the Corporation's empirical estimate of the loss severity for the product or severity segmentation given downturn economic conditions. Retail LGD segmentation represents a grouping of exposures expected to have homogeneous LGD characteristics based on statistical analyses of historical performance. Severity segmentations are based on product, country, collateral type, LTV ratio and other risk attributes.

Retail EAD is defined as the estimated dollar amount of the drawn exposure for a defaulted credit line over a 12-month time horizon. Retail EAD has two primary components, current outstanding carrying value and potential utilization of the unused portion of the unfunded commitment. It represents the empirical estimate of the amount of exposure that would be outstanding if an obligor defaulted, based on assumed homogeneous characteristics and statistical analyses of historical performance. Retail EAD segmentation represents a grouping of exposures expected to have homogeneous EAD characteristics based on the statistical analysis of historical performance. Retail EAD models within each subcategory are segmented by country, product and delinquency status, with the referenced data summarized by various risk drivers.

Accuracy of the retail models is maintained through the use of backtesting and benchmarking predicted risk parameters against realized losses. For additional information regarding estimated losses, actual losses and factors that impact the loss experience, refer to *Credit Risk Management* within the MD&A section and *Note 5 – Allowance for Credit Losses* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Retail Credit Exposures

The following table includes first lien and junior lien mortgages and revolving exposures allocated by PD range as of December 31, 2017. First lien mortgages represent approximately 72 percent of the exposure amount, revolving home equity lines of credit exposures approximately 26 percent, and the remaining exposures consist of junior lien mortgages.

	Balance Sheet		Undrawn		Exposure-Weighted Average			
	Amount	Commitments	EAD	RWA	PD	LGD	Risk Weight	
0.00 to < 0.15	\$ 84,024	\$ 40,857	\$ 97,922	\$ 9,981	0.08%	52.20%	10.19%	
0.15 to < 0.50	114,060	3,293	115,490	28,098	0.27	47.93	24.33	
0.50 to < 5.50	28,505	199	28,696	27,012	1.82	53.34	94.13	
5.50 to < 20.00	6,069	5	6,104	13,727	9.99	50.45	224.89	
20.00 to < 100.00	2,284	-	2,291	4,316	48.93	43.19	188.39	
100.00 (default) ¹	7,240	13	7,314	7,187	100.00	100.00	98.26	
Total	\$ 242,182	\$ 44,367	\$ 257,817	\$ 90,321	3.86%	51.65%	35.03%	

¹ The exposure-weighted average risk weight for defaulted loans is less than 100 percent due to certain loans being insured and/or guaranteed by U.S. government agencies.

The following table presents a summary of qualifying revolving exposures (primarily consisting of credit card exposures) allocated by PD range as of December 31, 2017.

	Balance Sheet		Undrawn		Exposure-Weighted Average			
	Amount	Commitments	EAD	RWA	PD	LGD	Risk Weight	
0.00 to < 0.50	\$ 19,158	\$ 271,857	\$ 54,589	\$ 5,664	0.21%	95.90%	10.38%	
0.50 to < 1.50	33,668	45,713	45,587	15,175	0.90	95.77	33.29	
1.50 to < 3.50	24,364	5,082	28,731	20,099	2.41	95.76	69.96	
3.50 to < 7.00	12,308	1,699	13,959	16,031	4.74	95.70	114.84	
7.00 to < 10.00	1,502	327	1,669	2,600	7.92	95.76	155.78	
10.00 to < 100.00	4,890	696	5,271	10,923	36.83	95.76	207.23	
100.00 (default)	2	1	2	2	100.00	100.00	100.00	
Total	\$ 95,892	\$ 325,375	\$ 149,808	\$ 70,494	2.64%	95.81%	47.06%	

The following table presents a summary of all other retail exposures that do not meet the Basel 3 definition of either a residential mortgage or a qualifying revolving exposure, allocated by PD range as of December 31, 2017.

	Balance Sheet		Undrawn		Exposure-Weighted Average			
	Amount	Commitments	EAD	RWA	PD	LGD	Risk Weight	
0.00 to < 0.50	\$ 68,511	\$ 138,695	\$ 98,999	\$ 11,006	0.10%	45.07%	11.12%	
0.50 to < 1.50	8,932	3,461	10,290	6,533	0.96	65.08	63.49	
1.50 to < 3.50	5,152	1,197	6,022	5,631	2.27	68.87	93.51	
3.50 to < 7.00	2,318	266	2,533	2,976	4.94	79.70	117.49	
7.00 to < 10.00	804	42	846	928	7.88	69.50	109.69	
10.00 to < 100.00	2,007	100	2,137	3,201	26.18	77.22	149.79	
100.00 (default)	55	38	55	55	100.00	99.53	100.00	
Total	\$ 87,779	\$ 143,799	\$ 120,882	\$ 30,330	0.95%	49.45%	25.09%	

WHOLESALE CREDIT RISK

Credit risk management for the wholesale portfolio begins with an assessment of the credit risk profile of the borrower or counterparty based on an analysis of its financial position. As part of the overall credit risk assessment, our wholesale credit exposures are assigned a risk rating and are subject to approval based on defined credit approval standards. Subsequent to loan origination, risk ratings are monitored on an ongoing basis, and if necessary, adjusted to reflect changes in the financial condition, cash flow, risk profile or outlook of a borrower or counterparty. In making credit decisions, we consider risk rating, collateral, country, industry and single name concentration limits while also balancing this with the total borrower or counterparty relationship. Our business and risk management personnel use a variety of tools to continuously monitor the ability of a borrower or counterparty to perform under its obligations. We use risk rating aggregations to measure and evaluate concentrations within portfolios. In addition, risk ratings are a factor in determining the level of allocated capital and the allowance for credit losses. For additional information on the Corporation's credit risk management policies of its commercial portfolio, refer to *Credit Risk Management* within the MD&A section in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Wholesale exposures include corporate exposures, real estate exposures, bank exposures and sovereign exposures. Real estate exposures are further divided into income-producing real estate exposures (IPRE) and high-volatility commercial real estate exposures (HVCRE). IPRE exposures represent commercial real estate exposures where the method of reimbursement is tied to the income produced from those exposures. HVCRE exposures are a type of credit facility that finances or has financed the acquisition, development or construction of real property (excluding facilities that finance one-to-four family residential properties or commercial real estate projects that meet certain LTV and capital contribution requirements).

Wholesale Risk Rating System

The Corporation uses three types of risk rating methodologies to assign risk ratings to wholesale exposure: internally developed scorecards, external mappings and the judgmental approach. Scorecards and external mappings both provide quantifiable and objective means to assess risk. The primary risk rating methodology is internally, empirically developed portfolio or industry scorecards. These scorecards are considered preferable due to the combination of rich data available from financial statements, relationship based obligor specific information that, in general, cannot be extracted from financial statements, and the fact that most are developed on and calibrated to internal bank default experience yielding a generally consistent default behavior among risk ratings across risk rating models. The majority of risk ratings employ empirically estimated, internally developed scorecards.

Determining Wholesale Risk Parameters

Wholesale PD is an empirical estimate of the average one-year default rate over a mix of economic conditions including downturn conditions for the obligor risk rating grade assigned by the Corporation. PD estimation aligns the scorecard risk ratings with the definition of default according to Basel 3 and a consistent performance observation window.

The accuracy of the PD model is backtested by comparing predicted and realized PDs on an on-going basis. Benchmarking analysis evaluates PD calibration by comparing the PDs to alternative approaches by mapping them to external ratings, including calibrations based on Moody's KMV EDFs (Expected Default Frequency) and S&P's historical default experience.

Wholesale LGD is defined as the greater of (1) the estimated long-run default-weighted average economic loss per dollar of EAD the Corporation would expect to incur if the obligor (or a typical obligor in the loss severity grade assigned to the exposure) were to default within a one-year horizon over a mix of economic conditions, including economic downturn conditions; and (2) the estimated economic loss per dollar of EAD the Corporation would expect to incur if the obligor (or a typical obligor in the loss severity grade assigned to the exposure) were to default within a one-year horizon during economic downturn conditions.

Wholesale LGD results are backtested and benchmarked to validate the accuracy and calibration of the LGDs utilized. Backtesting validates the accuracy of wholesale LGDs by comparing predicted LGD to realized LGD for each quarter in the reference data set. Benchmarking evaluates the wholesale LGD calibration in comparison to external benchmarks to determine that the experience is in line with industry averages.

Wholesale EAD is defined as the estimated dollar amount of the drawn exposure for a defaulted creditline over a 12-month time horizon. Wholesale EAD has two components, current outstanding carrying value and potential utilization of the unused portion of the unfunded commitment. Wholesale EAD is the empirical estimate of the amount of exposure that would be outstanding if an obligor defaulted, based on assumed homogeneous characteristics and statistical analyses of historical performance. For additional information regarding estimated losses, actual losses and factors that impacted the loss experience, refer to *Credit Risk Management* and *Complex Accounting Estimates* within the MD&A section and *Note 5 – Allowance for Credit Losses* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Wholesale Credit Exposures

The following table presents exposures to wholesale clients and issuers allocated by PD range as of December 31, 2017.

	Balance Sheet		Undrawn		Exposure-Weighted Average		
	Amount	Commitments	EAD	RWA	PD	LGD	Risk Weight
0.00 to < 0.15	\$ 761,650	\$ 261,493	\$ 920,863	\$ 126,964	0.04%	27.83%	13.79%
0.15 to < 0.50	147,917	80,790	188,156	97,999	0.31	40.20	52.08
0.50 to < 2.50	124,072	54,958	148,129	117,027	1.13	37.30	79.00
2.50 to < 10.00	33,076	20,748	41,766	50,984	4.20	38.65	122.07
10.00 to < 100.00	9,386	6,045	12,073	20,234	15.03	38.80	167.60
100.00 (default)	2,954	647	3,607	3,512	100.00	41.74	97.37
Total	\$ 1,079,055	\$ 424,681	\$ 1,314,594	\$ 416,720	0.75%	31.15%	31.70%

COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK

Counterparty credit risk is the risk that a counterparty to a transaction may default before completing the satisfactory settlement of the transaction. This risk applies to OTC derivatives, eligible margin loans, repo-style transactions and cleared transactions. Cleared transactions include exchange-traded derivatives, OTC derivatives and repo-style transactions that the Corporation clears through a central counterparty. An economic loss occurs if the transaction or portfolio of transactions with the counterparty has a positive replacement cost or outstanding loan amount that exceeds any collateral posted by the counterparty before the transaction(s) could be unwound, in the case of counterparty default.

When calculating counterparty credit risk RWA under the Advanced approaches, we use a combination of methods to calculate exposure amounts and utilize the PD and LGD methodologies described in the Wholesale Credit Risk section to determine risk weights. IMM is used to calculate EAD for the majority of OTC derivatives, while the current exposure method (CEM) is used for exchange-traded derivatives as well as certain OTC derivatives not covered by the IMM. IMM uses the Corporation's internal credit risk models to measure expected exposures by simulating the future movements of market risk factors underlying the derivative contracts, incorporating the effects of legally enforceable master netting and collateral agreements. Under CEM, EAD is determined by adding the Corporation's current credit exposure and potential future exposure as defined in Basel 3, with an adjustment to reflect the risk reduction associated with legally enforceable master netting agreements and the value of eligible collateral received or posted. The EAD for eligible margin loans and repo-style transactions is calculated using standard supervisory haircuts under the collateral haircut approach.

In connection with certain OTC derivative contracts and other trading agreements, the Corporation could be required to provide additional collateral or to terminate transactions with certain counterparties in the event of a downgrade of the senior debt ratings of the Corporation or certain subsidiaries. The amount of additional collateral required depends on the contract and is usually a fixed incremental amount and/or the market value of the exposure. For additional information on the impact of a credit rating downgrade, refer to *Note 2 – Derivatives* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Valuation Adjustments

We record CVA on the Corporation's derivative assets, including our credit default protection purchased, in order to properly reflect the credit risk of the counterparty. CVA is based on a modeled expected exposure that incorporates current market risk factors including changes in market spreads and non-credit related market factors that affect the value of a derivative. The exposure also takes into consideration credit mitigants such as legally enforceable master netting agreements and collateral. We also record a funding valuation adjustment to include funding costs on uncollateralized derivatives and derivatives where the Corporation is not permitted to reuse the collateral it receives. The Corporation also calculates a debit valuation adjustment (DVA) to properly reflect our own credit risk exposure as part of the fair value of derivative liabilities. DVA is deducted from Common equity tier 1 capital if there is a gain, and added back if there is a loss. For additional information, refer to *Capital Management* and *Credit Risk Management* within the MD&A section, *Note 2 – Derivatives* and *Note 20 – Fair Value Measurements* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Risk Mitigation

A number of techniques are used by the Corporation to manage counterparty credit risk. These include but are not limited to netting, collateral agreements and credit enhancements. A majority of the Corporation's derivative contracts contain credit risk-related contingency features. OTC derivative transactions are generally executed under an industry standard approved form of a master netting agreement primarily in the form of International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. master agreements that provide the Corporation the right to offset amounts owed to the counterparty against amounts owed by the same counterparty and provides other rights such as the ability for the Corporation to terminate a transaction upon default. Secured financing transactions are generally executed under standard Master Repurchase Agreements, Securities Lending Agreements and other agreements that would serve similar purposes with respect to netting and termination provisions. For additional information on the policies and extent to which the Corporation uses netting, refer to *Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Principles*, *Note 2 – Derivatives* and *Note 10 – Federal Funds Sold or Purchased, Securities Financing Agreements and Short-term Borrowings* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Credit enhancements include a variety of provisions that may be used to reduce the credit risk related to a transaction or counterparty. Events such as a credit rating downgrade (depending on the resulting rating level) or a breach of credit covenants would typically require an increase in the amount of collateral required of the counterparty and/or allow the Corporation to take additional protective measures such as early termination of all trades. These contingency features may be for the benefit of the Corporation as well as its counterparties with respect to changes in the Corporation's creditworthiness. For additional information on collateral, refer to *Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Principles* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Credit Limits

As part of the overall credit risk assessment, our commercial credit exposures are assigned a risk rating and are subject to approval based on defined credit approval standards. In making credit decisions, we consider risk rating, collateral, country, industry and single name concentration limits while also balancing this with the total borrower or counterparty relationship. Our business and risk management personnel use a variety of tools to continuously monitor the ability of a borrower or counterparty to perform under its obligations. For additional information on credit limits, refer to *Managing Risk* and *Credit Risk Management* within the MD&A section in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Economic Capital

Economic capital for credit risk captures two types of risks. Default risk represents the loss of principal due to outright default or the borrower's inability to repay an obligation in full. Migration risk represents potential loss in market value due to credit deterioration over the one-year capital time horizon. Credit risk is assessed and modeled for all on- and off-balance sheet credit exposures within subcategories for commercial, retail, counterparty and investment securities. The economic capital methodology captures dimensions such as concentration and country risk. The economic capital methodology is based on the PD, LGD, EAD and maturity for each credit exposure as well as portfolio correlations across exposures. Our economic capital measurement process provides a risk-based measurement of the capital required for unexpected credit, market and operational losses over a one-year time horizon at a 99.97 percent confidence level.

Collateral Valuation

Many of our derivative transactions are executed under collateral agreements. Collateral consists of assets that are pledged as security by a single counterparty to another as assurance of payment or performance against an obligation. Collateral agreements generally provide the Corporation the right to liquidate collateral held as payment in the event of a counterparty default. Collateral is managed by a centralized team and most contracts are subject to a daily mark-to-market process. Collateral movements are generally executed daily in accordance with the Corporation's standard bilateral agreement with the counterparty. Collateral permits the reduction of the overall exposure to the counterparty by netting the positive market value of a transaction against the market value of the collateral held after haircut adjustment. For additional information, refer to *Note 2 – Derivatives* and *Note 20 – Fair Value Measurements* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

The Corporation's credit policy defines acceptable forms of collateral for OTC derivatives, repo-style transactions and eligible margin loans, and is generally limited to cash, U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. agency securities, select Government Sponsored Entity (GSE) mortgage-backed securities and certain high quality sovereign securities. For additional information, refer to *Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Principles* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

For information on collateral held, refer to Schedule HC-L "Derivatives and Off-Balance Sheet Items" in Bank of America's December 31, 2017 Consolidated Financial Statements for Bank Holding Companies – FR Y-9C.

Counterparty Credit Exposures

The following table presents RWA by transaction type as of December 31, 2017.

	Basel 3 Advanced RWA
OTC derivatives	\$ 38,603
Repo-style transactions	11,305
Margin Loans	11,509
Cleared transactions	6,954
Unsettled transactions	416
Total	\$ 68,787

The following table presents counterparty credit risk exposures for OTC derivatives, repo-style transactions, and eligible margin loans allocated by PD range as of December 31, 2017. The table does not include cleared or unsettled transactions.

	EAD	RWA	Exposure-Weighted Average		
			PD	LGD	Risk Weight
0.00 to < 0.15	\$ 131,634	\$ 25,841	0.08%	39.75%	19.63%
0.15 to < 0.50	42,713	18,593	0.30	41.45	43.53
0.50 to < 2.50	17,982	14,094	1.17	41.53	78.38
2.50 to < 10.00	1,126	1,640	4.17	44.39	145.65
10.00 to < 100.00	599	987	13.11	36.62	164.77
100.00 (default)	188	188	100.00	39.60	100.00
Eligible margin loans - 300%	25	74	n/a	n/a	300.00
Total	\$ 194,267	\$ 61,417	0.39%	40.30%	31.61%

n/a = not applicable

Wrong-Way Risk

Wrong-way risk arises when credit exposure to a counterparty during the life of a trade is adversely correlated to the counterparty's credit quality. The Corporation uses a range of policies and reporting to detect and monitor wrong-way risk from trade inception until maturity of the transaction. Product approval policies and forums have been established to review potential situations of specific wrong-way risk prior to trade inception. The Corporation has also developed a stress testing framework that is utilized for scenario analysis to proactively manage wrong-way risk in the portfolio.

CREDIT RISK MITIGATION

The Corporation manages credit risk based on the risk profile of the borrower or counterparty, repayment sources, the nature of underlying collateral, hedging options available and other support given current events, conditions and expectations. The Corporation proactively refines its underwriting and credit management practices, as well as credit standards, to meet the changing economic environment. As part of its credit risk and portfolio management activities, the Corporation purchases credit protection in the form of guarantees, private credit risk insurance and credit derivatives to hedge exposures that it purchases, originates or participates in such as loans and investment securities. Under Basel 3, the Corporation recognizes the risk mitigating effect of qualifying credit risk hedges on banking book wholesale exposures in its regulatory capital calculations. Eligible credit hedges that the Corporation typically uses to mitigate credit risk and that also provide regulatory capital relief include guarantees and credit protection purchased from third parties. Eligible credit default swap counterparties serving as guarantors of credit risks in the banking book include commercial banks, investment banks and insurance companies.

Apart from using eligible credit hedges to mitigate credit risk of wholesale exposures as described above, the Corporation also uses other risk mitigation techniques to manage the size and risk profile of the loan portfolio such as loan sales, including syndication of exposures to third parties, and portfolio risk diversification through loan size and geography. The Corporation also reviews, measures and manages commercial real estate loans by geographic location and property type.

The Corporation assesses credit risk using comprehensive tools and measures to allow us to identify and mitigate emerging risks before they become material. One process utilizes an analysis of commercial utilized credit exposure by industry based on S&P industry classifications. This analysis includes commercial loans and leases, standby letters of credit and financial guarantees, derivative assets, assets held-for-sale and commercial letters of credit. Additional analysis focuses on assessing concentrations for outstanding commercial real estate loans by the geographic region where the property is located as well as the type of property. For additional information on credit risk mitigation, refer to *Credit Risk Management* within the MD&A section in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

The following table quantifies the wholesale portfolios which reflected the benefit of eligible credit derivatives and guarantees as of December 31, 2017.

	EAD	RWA
Corporate	\$ 26,317	\$ 15,200
Bank	246	85
Sovereign	380	82
IPRE	9,750	6,022
HVCRE	585	648
Total	\$ 37,278	\$ 22,037

SECURITIZATION

Securitization exposures under Basel 3 are defined as on- or off-balance sheet credit exposures that arise from traditional or synthetic securitizations (including credit-enhancing representations and warranties and resecuritizations). Traditional securitization exposures are those where all or a portion of the credit risk of one or more underlying exposures is transferred to one or more third parties other than through the use of credit derivatives or guarantees, whereas synthetic securitizations utilize derivatives or guarantees to transfer the risk to a third-party. Resecuritizations are transactions that contain one or more underlying positions that are securitizations. Additionally, in all instances, securitizations reflect exposures where the credit risk has been separated into at least two tranches reflecting differing levels of seniority; performance of the securitization depends on the performance of the underlying exposures; and all or substantially all of the underlying exposures are financial exposures. On-balance sheet exposures include loans, available-for-sale (AFS) securitizations and trading securities. Off-balance sheet exposures include liquidity commitments, guarantees and derivatives. U.S. agency and GSE mortgage-backed securitizations (e.g., Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae) that issue pass-through securities that are not broken into two or more tranche levels of seniority are not considered securitizations under the Basel 3 definition and are not included in the discussion that follows.

The Corporation periodically securitizes different types of exposures including residential loans, commercial loans, auto loans and leases and student loans. These securitizations are a source of funding for the Corporation and a means of transferring the economic risk of the loans or debt securities to third parties. Through the normal course of business we buy and sell securitization and resecuritization exposures across a number of asset classes. We are focused on making two-way markets and intermediating transfers of risk between clients. We also continue to manage a legacy portfolio with the primary objective of managing the risk while reducing the exposures.

In a securitization, various classes of financial instruments may be issued and are generally collateralized by a single class of transferred assets which most often consists of residential mortgages, but may also include commercial mortgages, credit card receivables, home equity loans, automobile loans, municipal bonds or other securities. Loans that have been securitized may be serviced by the Corporation

or by third parties. With each securitization, the Corporation may retain a portion of the resulting instruments, such as securities, subordinated tranches, interest-only strips, subordinated interests in accrued interest and fees on the securitized receivables or, in some cases, over collateralization and cash reserve accounts, all of which are referred to as retained interests.

The Corporation may serve as originator, investor and/or servicer/collateral manager of assets transferred into traditional securitization vehicles. The Corporation may also provide credit enhancement or serve as liquidity provider to securitization vehicles. As an investor, the Corporation and its subsidiaries hold securitization positions from third-party originated deals and in some instances from internally originated deals.

The Corporation follows the Basel 3 prescribed hierarchy of approaches for computation of risk-weighted assets related to securitization exposures and applies either SFA or SSFA provided the Corporation is able to meet the operational requirements related to data and modeling as required by these methodologies. The Corporation applies a 1,250 percent risk weight to those securitization exposures where SFA or SSFA cannot be applied.

Risk Management

The Corporation manages credit and market risks related to securitization and resecuritization positions, including portfolio risk and seller's risk, according to the Corporation's Risk Framework. Methods to monitor credit and market risks may vary based on the type of securitization portfolio.

Credit risk management is responsible for approving credit exposure to new and ongoing securitization and resecuritization exposure. Initial and ongoing reviews include consideration of underlying collateral quality, credit enhancement levels and structural features. Portfolio management is responsible for monitoring periodic servicer reports against any loan performance triggers or covenants, as well as overall performance trends in the context of economic, sector and servicer developments.

Risk management closely monitors the securitization inventory and analyzes changes in trading positions, the composition of portfolios and market risk factors to assess the overall level of market risk of securitizations and resecuritizations to which the Corporation is exposed. For the purpose of managing the Corporation's risk appetite in relation to securitizations and resecuritizations, limits are established and tracked daily in the centralized limits management system. These limits range from granular measures such as fair value and the sensitivities to changes in market risk factors to aggregated portfolio measures such as Value-at-Risk (VaR) and stress testing results.

The modeling framework for securitization and resecuritization risk is based on a look-through approach to the underlying collateral level data. Models are used to project prepayment speeds, default rates and loss severity, which are key inputs in the valuation for both government guaranteed and private label securities. These models incorporate market variables such as the level and volatility of interest rates and credit spreads, as well as macro-economic variables such as gross domestic product, unemployment and housing prices. Models are backtested periodically to measure the accuracy of the model forecasts against actual underlying collateral performance.

Due diligence

The Corporation performs due diligence for each securitization and resecuritization exposure, and documents such due diligence within three days of acquiring each position and on an ongoing basis at least every 90 days as required by Basel 3. The Corporation's due diligence focuses on each position's structural features and credit metrics of the underlying assets of the securitization and resecuritization that would materially affect the performance of the position.

Risk mitigation

The Corporation manages and mitigates the risks inherent in securitization and resecuritization positions, including the use of offsetting positions and portfolio diversification. The use of offsetting positions includes the use of both macro- and position-level hedges to either reduce exposure to certain risk factors or potential market stress events. In addition, the Corporation maintains a diversified portfolio across securitized product types to reduce its sensitivity to individual product types, issuers and servicers.

For information on accounting policies and risk mitigation for securitizations, refer to *Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Principles* and *Note 6 – Securitizations and Other Variable Interest Entities* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Securitization Exposures

Table 10 presents the outstanding principal amount of assets securitized by the Corporation within the banking book as of December 31, 2017. Third-party assets held in Bank of America-sponsored vehicles are shown separately from securitized assets that were originated or purchased by the Corporation. Assets that are 90 days or more past due or in nonaccrual status are shown below in the last column. Tables 11 and 12 present banking book and trading book exposures that receive securitization capital treatment, with the exception of correlation trading positions presented in the Market Risk section of this report.

Table 10 - Principal Amount Outstanding and Exposures Past Due by Underlying Collateral Type December 31, 2017
(Dollars in millions)

	Principal Amount Outstanding				Assets impaired or past due
	BAC assets held in traditional securitizations	Third-party assets held in traditional securitizations	BAC assets held in synthetic securitizations		
Collateral Type:					
Residential mortgages	\$ 48,971	\$ 8,002	\$ -	\$ 10,877	
Municipal bonds	2,494	-	-	-	
Other	-	-	363	-	
Total	\$ 51,465	\$ 8,002	\$ 363	\$ 10,877	

The following table presents the amount of on- and off-balance sheet securitization exposures by underlying exposure type as of December 31, 2017.

Table 11 - Total Securitization EAD and RWA December 31, 2017
(Dollars in millions)

	EAD			RWA
	On-Balance Sheet	Off-Balance Sheet	Total	
Residential mortgages	\$ 12,780	\$ 1,650	\$ 14,430	\$ 28,130
Commercial mortgages	1,412	294	1,706	3,223
Commercial and Industrial	11,695	5,002	16,697	8,894
Consumer auto loans	9,578	4,458	14,036	3,242
Student Loans	1,808	542	2,350	887
Municipal bonds	36	1,630	1,666	581
Other	9,857	5,652	15,509	5,673
Total	\$ 47,166	\$ 19,228	\$ 66,394	\$ 50,630

As of December 31, 2017, \$570 million of securitization exposures were deducted from the Corporation's capital.

The following table presents securitization exposures by risk weight bands as of December 31, 2017.

Table 12 - Securitization EAD and RWA by Risk Weights December 31, 2017
(Dollars in millions)

	SFA		SSFA		1,250%		Total	
	EAD	RWA	EAD	RWA	EAD	RWA	EAD	RWA
Securitization								
= 0% to ≤ 20%	\$ 13,164	\$ 2,633	\$ 37,157	\$ 7,429	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,321	\$ 10,061
> 20% to ≤ 50%	869	254	3,478	973	-	-	4,347	1,227
> 50% to ≤ 100%	348	214	1,637	1,307	-	-	1,986	1,521
> 100% to < 1,250%	74	75	8,148	27,816	-	-	8,222	27,891
= 1,250%	-	-	487	6,085	96	1,195	582	7,280
Resecuritization								
= 0% to ≤ 20%	-	-	676	135	-	-	676	135
> 20% to ≤ 50%	-	-	3	1	-	-	3	1
> 50% to ≤ 100%	-	-	5	3	-	-	5	3
> 100% to < 1,250%	-	-	103	644	-	-	103	644
= 1,250%	-	-	46	569	104	1,298	149	1,867
Total Securitization	\$ 14,455	\$ 3,176	\$ 51,740	\$ 44,962	\$ 200	\$ 2,493	\$ 66,394	\$ 50,630

No credit risk mitigation is applied to securitization or resecuritization exposures as of December 31, 2017.

The total amount of banking book exposures intended to be securitized as of December 31, 2017 was \$344 million in commercial real estate.

For additional information on securitization exposures, including exposures securitized by the Corporation, gains (losses) recognized during the period and securitization activity, refer to *Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Principles* and *Note 6 – Securitizations and Other Variable Interest Entities* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

MARKET RISK OVERVIEW

Market risk is the risk that changes in market conditions may adversely impact the value of assets or liabilities, or otherwise negatively impact earnings. This risk is inherent in the financial instruments associated with our operations, primarily within our Global Markets segment. We are also exposed to these risks in other areas of the Corporation (e.g., our Asset Liability Management (ALM) activities). In the event of market stress, these risks could have a material impact on the results of the Corporation. For additional information, refer to *Market Risk Management* and *Trading Risk Management* within the MD&A section in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Our traditional banking loan and deposit products are non-trading positions and are generally reported at amortized cost for assets or the amount owed for liabilities (historical cost). However, these positions are still subject to changes in economic value based on varying market conditions, with one of the primary risks being changes in the levels of interest rates. The risk of adverse changes in the economic value of our non-trading positions arising from changes in interest rates is managed through our ALM activities. We have elected to account for certain assets and liabilities under the fair value option. For additional information, refer to *Interest Rate Risk Management for the Banking Book* on page 23.

Trading Book

Our trading positions are reported at fair value with changes reflected in income. Trading positions are subject to various changes in market-based risk factors. The majority of this risk is generated by our activities in the interest rate, foreign exchange, credit, equity and commodities markets. In addition, the values of assets and liabilities could change due to market liquidity, correlations across markets and expectations of market volatility. We seek to manage these risk exposures by using a variety of techniques that encompass a broad range of financial instruments.

Global Risk Management is responsible for providing senior management with a clear and comprehensive understanding of the trading risks to which the Corporation is exposed. These responsibilities include ownership of market risk policy, developing and maintaining quantitative risk models, calculating aggregated risk measures, establishing and monitoring position limits consistent with risk appetite, conducting daily reviews and analysis of trading inventory, approving material risk exposures and fulfilling regulatory requirements.

Covered positions are defined by regulatory standards as trading assets and liabilities, both on- and off-balance sheet, that meet a defined set of specifications. These specifications identify the most liquid trading positions which are intended to be held for a short-term horizon and where the Corporation is able to hedge the material risk elements in a two-way market. Positions in less liquid markets, or where there are restrictions on the ability to trade the positions, typically do not qualify as covered positions. Foreign exchange and commodity positions are always considered covered positions, except for structural foreign currency positions that are excluded with prior regulatory approval. The characterization of an exposure as a trading asset or liability under GAAP does not necessarily determine its treatment under Basel 3. Trading assets or liabilities that do not meet the regulatory definition of a covered position are excluded from market risk capital treatment and subject to the credit risk capital rules as non-covered exposures. The Corporation maintains policies and procedures for determination of exposures meeting the covered position definition. Throughout this report, covered positions are also referred to as “trading book” positions. Similarly, non-covered positions are referred to as “banking book” positions.

The following table presents the components of Market Risk RWA as of December 31, 2017.

	Capital	RWA
Regulatory VaR 10-day holding period ¹	\$ 175	\$ 2,188
Stressed VaR 10-day holding period ¹	822	10,273
Incremental risk charge	630	7,876
Comprehensive risk measure	204	2,555
Total internal models	1,831	22,894
Standard specific risk		
Securitization	918	11,480
Non-securitization	1,724	21,550
Other charges ²	184	2,306
Total market risk	\$ 4,658	\$ 58,229

¹ A multiplier of 3.00 is used to determine VaR and Stressed VaR capital numbers based on a 60-day average as of December 31, 2017.

² Other charges are comprised of modeled specific risk and other modeled charges approved by the U.S. banking regulators.

For additional information on market risk RWA calculated by capital requirement component under both the Standardized and Advanced approaches, refer to the Market Risk Regulatory Report for Institutions Subject to the Market Risk Capital Rule – FFIEC 102 for the period ended December 31, 2017.

Trading Risk Management

To evaluate risk arising from trading activities, the Corporation focuses on the actual and potential volatility of revenues generated by individual positions as well as portfolios of positions. VaR is a common statistic used to measure market risk as it allows the aggregation of market risk factors, including the effects of portfolio diversification. A VaR model simulates the value of a portfolio under a range of scenarios in order to generate a distribution of potential gains and losses. VaR represents the loss a portfolio is not expected to exceed more than a certain number of times per period, based on a specified holding period, confidence level and window of historical data. We use one VaR model consistently across the trading portfolios and it uses a historical simulation approach based on a three-year window of historical data. Our primary VaR statistic is equivalent to a 99 percent confidence level. This means that for a VaR with a one-day holding period, there should not be losses in excess of VaR, on average, 99 out of 100 trading days.

Regulatory VaR

The VaR statistic used for the regulatory capital calculation shown in Table 14 is defined by regulatory standards (Regulatory VaR) and it differs from the VaR statistic disclosed in the Corporation's SEC disclosures (disclosed VaR) due to differences in the population and holding period. Regulatory standards require that Regulatory VaR only include the covered position portfolio, while the disclosed VaR also includes non-covered positions. The holding period for Regulatory VaR is ten days while for disclosed VaR it is one day. Both Regulatory VaR and disclosed VaR utilize the same process and methodology as well as the same historical data.

Within the tables below, the VaR for each of the risk factors captures the expected loss with a 99 percent confidence level, similar to a stress scenario for each discrete risk factor. For example, the VaR for the interest rate risk factor identifies the potential loss the Corporation is not expected to exceed more than one out of every 100 days based on the previous three years of historical data for just the interest rate risk in the Corporation's portfolio. The historical days that generate these hypothetical losses might be different than the historical days that generate the hypothetical losses for the credit spread risk factor or for the Corporation's total portfolio. The combination of the potentially different historical days that generate the hypothetical losses for each risk factor is what produces the diversification benefit across the portfolio. As a result, the sum of the VaRs by risk factor is greater than the total Regulatory VaR.

Regulatory VaR does not incorporate the value that covered positions would gain or lose, in the absence of market moves, as they move toward expiration, which is known as time decay. Therefore, for certain portfolios the distribution of potential gains and losses estimated by the VaR model can produce a Regulatory VaR result that is not a loss.

The market risk related to all covered positions to which the Corporation is exposed is included in the total Regulatory VaR results. The majority of this portfolio is within the Global Markets segment. The table below presents the Regulatory VaR results by risk factors for the period end, average, high and low results.

Table 14 - Market Risk - Total Regulatory VaR

(Dollars in millions)

	10-day Holding Period			
	Three months ended			
	December 31, 2017			
	Period End	Average	High ¹	Low ¹
Foreign exchange	\$ 29	\$ 32	\$ 62	\$ 19
Interest rate	73	55	76	25
Credit	106	105	126	79
Equities	43	37	71	17
Commodities	9	12	32	7
Portfolio diversification	(201)	(183)	-	-
Total Regulatory VaR	\$ 59	\$ 58	\$ 92	\$ 32

¹The High and Low for the total portfolio may have occurred during different trading days than the High and Low for the individual components. Therefore the amount of portfolio diversification, which is the difference between the total portfolio and the sum of the individual components, is not relevant for the High and Low results.

Backtesting

The accuracy of the VaR methodology is evaluated by backtesting, which compares the daily VaR results, utilizing a one-day holding period, against a comparable subset of trading revenue. A backtesting excess occurs when a trading loss exceeds the VaR for the corresponding day. These excesses are evaluated to understand the positions and market moves that produced the trading loss and to ensure that the VaR methodology accurately represents those losses.

Backtesting excesses for our total Regulatory VaR results, utilizing a one-day holding period, did not occur during the three months prior to December 31, 2017, and occurred on one day in the twelve months prior to December 31, 2017.

Stressed Value-at-Risk

Stressed VaR is a variation of VaR in which the historical window is not the previous three years but is calibrated to a continuous 12-month window that reflects a period of significant financial stress appropriate to the Corporation's current portfolio. Stressed VaR is calculated daily based on a 99 percent confidence level, a ten-day holding period and the same population of exposures as the Regulatory VaR. The Corporation utilizes a single model and process to calculate all Regulatory VaR, Stressed VaR, and Disclosure VaR statistics. The following table presents the Stressed VaR results for the period end, average, high and low calculated over a ten-day holding period.

Table 15 - Market Risk - Total Regulatory Stressed VaR*(Dollars in millions)*

	10-day Holding Period			
	Three months ended			
	December 31, 2017			
	Period End	Average	High	Low
Total Regulatory Stressed VaR	\$ 270	\$ 274	\$ 395	\$ 181

Incremental Risk Charge

The incremental risk charge (IRC) model is one component of the regulatory capital calculation for market risk. The model is intended to capture the potential losses that non-securitized covered position credit products in the trading portfolio might experience over a one-year period of financial stress from defaults, ratings migration and significant basis risk factors. To calculate potential losses at the required 99.9 percent confidence level, the Corporation utilizes a Monte-Carlo simulation calibrated using relevant, available historical data for each risk factor in order to sample potential market scenarios. The model reflects the impact of concentrated risks, including issuer, sector, region and product basis risks, and assigns a higher potential loss to a concentrated portfolio than a more diversified portfolio with a similar credit profile. The model framework also captures the broad relationships between the different risk factors and is flexible enough to allow additional dependencies or risk factors to be incorporated in the future. The IRC model assumes a constant position and a one-year liquidity horizon.

The following table presents the period end, average, high and low IRC over the period as of December 31, 2017. The IRC value used for the regulatory capital calculation is based on the higher of the period end value or the average value of the preceding 12 weeks.

Table 16 - Market Risk - Incremental Risk Charge*(Dollars in millions)*

	Three months ended			
	December 31, 2017			
	Period End	Average	High	Low
Total incremental risk charge	\$ 565	\$ 630	\$ 751	\$ 527

Comprehensive Risk Measure

The Corporation's comprehensive risk measure (CRM) is another component of the regulatory capital calculation for market risk. Following confirmation from the Federal Reserve that the Corporation meets the requirements outlined in Section 209(a)(2)(ii) of Basel 3 with respect to CRM, effective July 1, 2017 and for all subsequent disclosures, the Corporation computes the metric as the greater of the modeled measure of all price risk or the total specific risk add-on applied to the Corporation's modeled correlation trading positions.

The modeled component of the CRM takes into account all of the risk factors that materially impact the value of the positions within the correlation trading portfolio. The model captures the complexity of these positions including the non-linear nature of the trade valuations, particularly during periods of market stress, and the impact of the joint evolution of the risk factors. The modeled component of the CRM utilizes the same Monte-Carlo simulation framework as our IRC model with the additional risk factors required for the correlation products in order to calculate the potential losses at the required 99.9 percent confidence level. The modeled component of the CRM, like the IRC model, assumes a constant position and a one-year liquidity horizon.

The CRM surcharge is calculated using two components. The first is the assessment made using the SFA, which calculates capital on securitization exposures based on the amount and the level of subordination available as credit support to each exposure. The second component of the surcharge is the capital for hedges of the correlation portfolio which are calculated under the specific risk standard charge framework. The surcharge is equal to eight percent of the larger of the net longs or shorts of these aggregated components.

The following table presents the period end, average, high and low values for the CRM over the period as of December 31, 2017. The CRM value used for the regulatory capital calculation is based on the higher of the period end value or the average value of the preceding 12 weeks.

Table 17 - Market Risk - Comprehensive Risk Measure

	Three months ended			
	December 31, 2017			
	Period End	Average	High	Low
Total comprehensive risk measure	\$ 177	\$ 204	\$ 227	\$ 176

The following table presents the aggregate modeled amount of correlation trading positions as of December 31, 2017. Hedges to the correlation trading positions that are included in the modeled component of CRM are considered part of the aggregate correlation trading positions and are included in the table below. The values shown in the table are fair values.

Table 18 - Market Risk - Correlation Trading Positions

	December 31, 2017	
	Correlation Positions	Hedges
Positions subject to comprehensive risk measure	\$ (95)	\$ 324
Total correlation trading positions	\$ (95)	\$ 324

The Corporation conducted an analysis to assess the validity of the IRC and CRM models and respective methodologies prior to being granted approval by the U.S. banking regulators to utilize the models. This analysis consisted of a comparison of alternative theories and approaches along with an understanding of the necessary assumptions and limitations of the models, as well as assessing the impact of stressing the calibrated parameters. This analysis was shared and discussed with the relevant regulatory agencies to ensure compliance with regulatory guidelines. The models are continually monitored to ensure that the implementation and applicability remain valid. We perform stress tests of these models on a regular basis. The calibration of these models is regularly reviewed. We incorporate relevant market data and changing market conditions on a regular basis. As with our other quantitative risk models, Stressed VaR, IRC and CRM models fall under the oversight of the EMRC and adhere to its independent analysis and ongoing governance and standards policies.

Trading Portfolio Stress Testing

Because the very nature of a VaR model suggests results can exceed our estimates and it is dependent on a limited historical window, we also stress test our portfolio using scenario analysis. This analysis estimates the change in the value of our trading portfolio that may result from abnormal market movements. For additional information on enterprise-wide stress testing, refer to *Managing Risk* within the MD&A section in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

EQUITY EXPOSURES IN THE BANKING BOOK

Equity exposures in the banking book are primarily held for strategic business purposes and comprised of a diversified portfolio of investments in Bank-Owned Life Insurance, private equity investments and other equity investments primarily reported in other assets, as well as certain equity investments included in trading assets on the balance sheet that do not meet the criteria for market risk regulatory capital treatment. These positions are held either as direct investments or through a fund.

Accounting and Valuation

Marketable equity securities in the banking book are generally classified as AFS securities and measured at fair value with all changes in fair value recorded in AOCI. Certain equity investments in the portfolio are subject to investment-company accounting under GAAP, and accordingly, are carried at fair value with changes in fair value reported in equity investment income. At inception, the transaction price of an investment is generally considered to be the best indicator of fair value. Thereafter, valuation is based on an assessment of each individual investment using methodologies that include publicly traded comparable companies derived by multiplying a key performance metric (e.g., earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) of the portfolio company by the relevant valuation multiple observed for comparable companies, acquisition companies, entry-level multiples and discounted cash flows, and are subject to appropriate discounts for lack of liquidity or marketability. Certain factors that may influence changes in fair value include, but are not limited to, recapitalizations, subsequent rounds of financing and offerings in the equity or debt capital markets. For fund investments, we generally record the fair value of our proportionate interest in the fund's capital as reported by the fund's respective manager(s). The Corporation may elect to account for certain private equity investments that are not in an investment company under the fair value option as this measurement basis is consistent with applicable accounting guidance for similar investments that qualify for investment-company accounting. Remaining non-marketable equity investments are accounted for using either the equity method or cost method, depending on the size and nature of the Corporation's ownership interest. For additional information on fair value accounting and valuation techniques, refer to *Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Principles* and *Note 20 – Fair Value Measurements* in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

Under Basel 3, approaches to determining risk weights for equity investments in the banking book vary based on the type of exposure. If the equity exposure is to an investment fund, the Corporation applies the full look-through approach, the simple modified look-through approach or the alternative modified look-through approach. For all other equity investments in the banking book, the Corporation uses the simple risk weight approach and applies the appropriate multiplier to each exposure according to the prescribed regulatory percentages.

Equity Exposures

The following table presents the carrying values, fair values, exposures and RWA of the Corporation's equity investments by risk weight categories as of December 31, 2017.

	Carrying Value		Fair Value		Exposure ³		Risk-Weighted Assets	Risk Weight %	
Simple Risk Weight Approach:									
0% Risk Weight ¹	\$	5,333	\$	5,333	\$	5,333	\$	-	0%
20% Risk Weight		931		931		931		186	20%
Community Development Equity Exposures		7,550		7,550		7,631		7,631	100%
Publicly Traded Equity Exposures ²		562		562		4,804		4,804	100%
Non-publicly Traded Equity Exposures ²		21,690		22,393		22,353		22,353	100%
600% Risk Weight Equity Exposures Under the SRWA		12		12		13		77	600%
Total Simple Risk Weight Approach		36,078		36,781		41,065		35,051	85%
Equity Exposures to Investment Funds:									
Full Look-through Approach		25,817		25,817		26,112		7,538	29%
Simple Modified Look-through Approach		160		160		160		160	100%
Total Equity Exposures to Investment Funds		25,977		25,977		26,272		7,698	29%
Total Equity Exposures	\$	62,055	\$	62,758	\$	67,337	\$	42,749	63%

¹ Consists of Federal Reserve Bank stock.

² Equity exposures within the 300% and 400% risk weight categories were risk-weighted at 100% due to the aggregate carrying value of such exposures not exceeding the total capital threshold for higher risk weighting, or the exposure being the effective portion of hedge pairs.

³ Includes off-balance sheet equity exposures of \$5.3B.

Total cumulative net realized gains arising from the sale and liquidation of equity investments was \$16 million for the quarter ended December 31, 2017.

Total net unrealized gains on non-marketable equity investments which are not recognized in retained earnings or AOCI were \$ 70 million as of December 31, 2017.

Total unrealized losses on AFS equity investments recognized in AOCI were \$2 million of which \$1.6 million was included in Tier 1 capital for the quarter ended December 31, 2017.

OPERATIONAL RISK OVERVIEW

The Corporation defines operational risk as the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events. Operational risk may occur anywhere in the Corporation, including third-party business processes, and is not limited to operations functions. Effects may extend beyond financial losses and may result in reputational risk impacts. Operational risk includes legal risk. Successful operational risk management is particularly important to diversified financial services companies because of the nature, volume and complexity of the financial services business. Operational risk is a significant component in the calculation of total RWA used in the Basel 3 capital estimate under the Advanced approaches.

Advanced Measurement Approach

The Advanced Measurement Approach (AMA) is used to quantify operational risk capital requirements. However, under the Federal Reserve's reservation of authority, they may require us to hold an amount of capital greater than otherwise required under the capital rules if they determine that our risk-based capital requirement using our internal analytical models is not commensurate with our Corporation's risks.

For additional information regarding operational risk, refer to *Operational Risk Management* and *Capital Management* within the MD&A section in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

INTEREST RATE RISK MANAGEMENT FOR THE BANKING BOOK

Interest rate risk represents the most significant market risk exposure to our banking book balance sheet. Interest rate risk is measured as the potential change in net interest income caused by movements in market interest rates. Client-facing activities, primarily lending and deposit-taking, create interest rate sensitive positions on our balance sheet.

Risk Measurement

We prepare forward-looking forecasts of net interest income. The baseline forecast takes into consideration expected future business growth, ALM positioning and the direction of interest rate movements as implied by the market-based forward curve. We then measure and evaluate the impact that alternative interest rate scenarios have on the baseline forecast in order to assess interest rate sensitivity under varied conditions. The net interest income forecast is frequently updated for changing assumptions and differing outlooks based on economic trends, market conditions and business strategies. Thus, we continually monitor our balance sheet position in order to maintain an acceptable level of exposure to interest rate changes.

The interest rate scenarios that we analyze incorporate balance sheet assumptions such as loan and deposit growth and pricing, changes in funding mix, product repricing and maturity characteristics. Our overall goal is to manage interest rate risks so that movements in interest rates do not significantly adversely affect earnings and capital.

Below is the pretax dollar impact to forecasted net interest income over the next 12 months from December 31, 2017, resulting from instantaneous parallel and non-parallel shocks to the market-based forward curve. While the scenarios reflect all currencies in aggregate, U.S. dollar represents materially all of the banking book net interest income sensitivity.

Table 20 - Estimated Banking Book Net Interest Income Sensitivity

Curve Change	Short Rate (bps)	Long Rate (bps)	December 31, 2017
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>			
Parallel shifts			
+100 bps instantaneous shift	+100	+100	\$3,317
-50 bps instantaneous shift	-50	-50	(\$2,273)
Flatteners			
Short-end instantaneous change	+100	-	\$2,182
Long-end instantaneous change	-	-50	(\$1,246)
Steepeners			
Short-end instantaneous change	-50	-	(\$1,021)
Long-end instantaneous change	-	+100	\$1,135

For additional information on interest rate risk management for the banking book, including the impact to earnings from upward and downward rate shocks, refer to *Interest Rate Risk Management for the Banking Book* within the MD&A section in the December 31, 2017 Form 10-K.

SUPPLEMENTARY LEVERAGE RATIO

Basel 3 also requires Advanced approaches institutions to disclose an SLR. The numerator of the SLR is quarter-end Basel 3 Tier 1 capital reflective of Basel 3 numerator transition provisions. The denominator is total leverage exposure based on the daily average of the sum of on-balance sheet exposures less permitted Tier 1 deductions, as well as the simple average of certain off-balance sheet exposures, as of the end of each month in a quarter. Off-balance sheet exposures primarily include undrawn lending commitments, letters of credit, potential future derivative exposures and repo-style transactions. Total leverage exposure includes the effective notional principal amount of credit derivatives and similar instruments through which credit protection is sold. The credit conversion factors (CCFs) applied to certain off-balance sheet exposures conform to the graduated CCF utilized under the Basel 3 Standardized approach, but are subject to a minimum 10 percent CCF. Effective January 1, 2018, the Corporation will be required to maintain a minimum SLR of 3.0 percent, plus a leverage buffer of 2.0 percent, in order to avoid certain restrictions on capital distributions and discretionary bonuses. Insured depository institution subsidiaries of BHCs, including BANA, will be required to maintain a minimum 6.0 percent SLR to be considered “well-capitalized” under the PCA framework.

For additional information on Supplementary Leverage Ratio, refer to Schedule A “Advanced Approaches Regulatory Capital” in Bank of America’s December 31, 2017 Regulatory Capital Reporting for Institutions Subject to the Advanced Capital Adequacy Framework — FFIEC 101.

MODEL RISK MANAGEMENT

Model risk is the potential for adverse consequences from decisions based on incorrect or misused model outputs and reports. Given that models are used across the Corporation, model risk impacts all risk types including credit risk, market risk and operational risk. The Enterprise Model Risk Committee (EMRC), a subcommittee of the Management Risk Committee (MRC) is responsible for providing management oversight and approval of model risk management and governance. The EMRC defines model risk standards, consistent with the Corporation's risk framework and risk appetite, prevailing regulatory guidance and industry best practice. All models, including risk management, valuation and regulatory capital models, must meet certain validation criteria, including effective challenge of the model development process and a sufficient demonstration of developmental evidence incorporating a comparison of alternative theories and approaches. The EMRC ensures model standards are consistent with model risk requirements and monitors the effective challenge in the model validation process across the Corporation. In addition, the relevant stakeholders must agree on any required actions or restrictions to the models and maintain a stringent monitoring process to ensure continued compliance.

APPENDIX

Bank of America’s Form 10-K contains pertinent information related to the Basel 3 disclosure requirements . A summary of the references made in the preceding disclosure can be found in the following table.

References to Form 10-K

Section	Location
<i>Business Overview</i>	<i>Executive Summary</i>
<i>Allowance for Credit Losses</i>	<i>MD&A</i>
<i>Capital Management</i>	<i>MD&A</i>
<i>Credit Risk Management</i>	<i>MD&A</i>
<i>Interest Rate Risk Management for the Banking Book</i>	<i>MD&A</i>
<i>Liquidity Risk</i>	<i>MD&A</i>
<i>Managing Risk</i>	<i>MD&A</i>
<i>Market Risk Management</i>	<i>MD&A</i>
<i>Trading Risk Management</i>	<i>MD&A</i>
<i>Operational Risk Management</i>	<i>MD&A</i>
<i>Average Balances and Interest Rates - FTE Basis</i>	<i>Table 9</i>
<i>Bank of America Corporation Regulatory Capital under Basel 3</i>	<i>Table 12</i>
<i>Capital Composition under Basel 3 – Transition</i>	<i>Table 13</i>
<i>Bank of America, N.A. Regulatory Capital under Basel 3</i>	<i>Table 16</i>
<i>Consumer Credit Quality</i>	<i>Table 21</i>
<i>Residential Mortgage State Concentrations</i>	<i>Table 25</i>
<i>Home Equity State Concentrations</i>	<i>Table 27</i>
<i>U.S. Credit Card State Concentrations</i>	<i>Table 29</i>
<i>Direct/Indirect State Concentrations</i>	<i>Table 30</i>
<i>Commercial Credit Quality</i>	<i>Table 34</i>
<i>Outstanding Commercial Real Estate Loans</i>	<i>Table 37</i>
<i>Commercial Credit Exposure by Industry</i>	<i>Table 40</i>
<i>Net Credit Default Protection by Credit Exposure Debt Rating</i>	<i>Table 42</i>
<i>Top 20 Non-U.S. Countries Exposure</i>	<i>Table 45</i>
<i>Estimated Banking Book Net Interest Income Sensitivity</i>	<i>Table 52</i>
<i>Summary of Significant Accounting Principles</i>	<i>Note 1</i>
<i>Derivatives</i>	<i>Note 2</i>
<i>Credit Derivative Instruments Table</i>	<i>Note 2</i>
<i>Additional Collateral Required to be Posted upon Downgrade Table</i>	<i>Note 2</i>
<i>Securities</i>	<i>Note 3</i>
<i>Maturities of Debt Securities Carried at Fair Value and Held-to-maturity Debt Securities Table</i>	<i>Note 3</i>
<i>Outstanding Loans and Leases</i>	<i>Note 4</i>
<i>Impaired Loans – Consumer Real Estate Table</i>	<i>Note 4</i>
<i>Impaired Loans – Credit Card and Other Consumer Table</i>	<i>Note 4</i>
<i>Impaired Loans – Commercial Table</i>	<i>Note 4</i>
<i>Allowance for Credit Losses</i>	<i>Note 5</i>
<i>Securitized and Other Variable Interest Entities</i>	<i>Note 6</i>
<i>Goodwill and Intangible Assets</i>	<i>Note 8</i>
<i>Federal Funds Sold or Purchased, Securities Financing Agreements and Short-term Borrowings</i>	<i>Note 10</i>
<i>Long-term Debt</i>	<i>Note 11</i>
<i>Commitments and Contingencies</i>	<i>Note 12</i>
<i>Shareholders’ Equity</i>	<i>Note 13</i>
<i>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)</i>	<i>Note 14</i>
<i>Regulatory Requirements and Restrictions</i>	<i>Note 16</i>
<i>Fair Value Measurements</i>	<i>Note 20</i>
<i>Fair Value of Financial Instruments</i>	<i>Note 22</i>
<i>Allowance for Credit Losses</i>	<i>Statistical Table IV</i>
<i>Selected Loan Maturity Data</i>	<i>Statistical Table VI</i>