

---

## W.W. Grainger, Inc. and Subsidiaries

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

#### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

##### INDUSTRY INFORMATION

W.W. Grainger, Inc. is a broad-line distributor of maintenance, repair and operating supplies, and other related products and services used by businesses and institutions. In this report, the words “Company” or “Grainger” mean W.W. Grainger, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

##### PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions are eliminated from the consolidated financial statements.

##### EQUITY METHOD OF ACCOUNTING FOR INVESTMENTS

For investments in which the Company owns or controls from 20% to 50% of the voting shares, the equity method of accounting is used. The Company also accounts for investments below 20% using the equity method when significant influence can be exercised over the operating and financial policies of the investee company.

In October 2011, the Company divested its 49% stake in a joint venture, MRO Korea Co., Ltd., for \$12 million, resulting in a pretax gain of \$8 million (\$5 million after-tax) net of the cumulative foreign currency losses reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive earnings. The Company previously accounted for this investment under the equity method.

##### USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the disclosure of contingent liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

##### FOREIGN CURRENCY TRANSLATION

The financial statements of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are measured using the local currency as the functional currency. Net exchange gains or losses resulting from the translation of financial statements of foreign operations and related long-term debt and derivative instruments are recorded as a separate component of other comprehensive earnings. See Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

##### RECLASSIFICATIONS

Certain amounts in the 2012 and 2011 financial statements, as previously reported, have been reclassified to conform to the 2013 presentation. These reclassifications did not have a material impact on the presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

##### REVENUE RECOGNITION

Revenues recognized include product sales, billings for freight and handling charges and fees earned for services provided. The Company recognizes product sales and billings for freight and handling charges primarily on the date products are shipped to, or picked up by, the customer. In cases where the product is shipped directly to the customer, the company recognizes revenue at the time of shipment on a gross basis. The Company's standard shipping terms are FOB shipping point. On occasion, the Company will negotiate FOB destination terms. These sales are recognized upon delivery to the customer. eCommerce revenues, which accounted for 33% of total 2013 revenues, are recognized on the same terms as revenues through other channels. Fee revenues, which accounted for less than 1% of total 2013 revenues, are recognized after services are completed. Taxes collected from customers and remitted to governmental authorities are presented on a net basis and are not included in revenue.

##### COST OF MERCHANDISE SOLD

Cost of merchandise sold includes product and product-related costs, vendor consideration, freight-out and handling costs. The Company defines handling costs as those costs incurred to fulfill a shipped sales order.

##### VENDOR CONSIDERATION

The Company receives rebates and allowances from its vendors to promote their products. The Company utilizes numerous advertising programs to promote its vendors' products, including catalogs and other printed media, Internet, radio and other marketing programs. Most of these programs relate to multiple vendors, which makes supporting the specific, identifiable and incremental criteria difficult, and would require numerous assumptions and judgments. Based on the inexact nature of trying to track reimbursements to the advertising expenditure for each vendor, the Company treats most vendor advertising allowances as a reduction to Cost of merchandise sold rather than a reduction of operating (advertising) expenses. Rebates earned from vendors that are based on product purchases are capitalized into inventory as part of product purchase price. These rebates are credited to Cost of merchandise sold based on sales. Vendor rebates that are earned based on products sold are credited directly to Cost of merchandise sold.

---

## ADVERTISING

Advertising costs are expensed in the year the related advertisement is first presented. Advertising expense was \$178 million, \$173 million and \$145 million for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Most vendor-provided allowances are classified as an offset to Cost of merchandise sold. For additional information see VENDOR CONSIDERATION above.

Catalog expense is amortized equally over the life of the catalog, beginning in the month of its distribution. Advertising costs for catalogs that have not been distributed by year-end are capitalized as Prepaid expenses. Amounts included in Prepaid expenses at December 31, 2013 and 2012, were \$36 million and \$46 million, respectively.

## WAREHOUSING, MARKETING AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Included in this category are purchasing, branch operations, information services, and marketing and selling expenses, as well as other types of general and administrative costs.

## STOCK INCENTIVE PLANS

The Company measures all share-based payments using fair-value-based methods and records compensation expense related to these payments over the vesting period. See Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## INCOME TAXES

Income taxes are recognized during the year in which transactions enter into the determination of financial statement income, with deferred taxes being provided for temporary differences between financial and tax reporting. The Company recognizes in the financial statements a provision for tax uncertainties, resulting from application of complex tax regulations in multiple tax jurisdictions. See Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## OTHER COMPREHENSIVE EARNINGS (LOSSES)

The Company's Other comprehensive earnings (losses) include foreign currency translation adjustments, changes in fair value of derivatives designated as hedges and unrecognized gains (losses) on postretirement and other employment-related benefit plans. See Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

The Company considers investments in highly liquid debt instruments, purchased with an original maturity of 90 days or less, to be cash equivalents.

## CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK

The Company places temporary cash investments with institutions of high credit quality and, by policy, limits the amount of credit exposure to any one institution.

The Company has a broad customer base representing many diverse industries doing business in all regions of the United States, Canada, Europe, Asia and Latin America. Consequently, no significant concentration of credit risk is considered to exist.

## ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS

The Company establishes reserves for customer accounts that are potentially uncollectible. The method used to estimate the allowances is based on several factors, including the age of the receivables and the historical ratio of actual write-offs to the age of the receivables. These analyses also take into consideration economic conditions that may have an impact on a specific industry, group of customers or a specific customer.

## INVENTORIES

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined primarily by the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method, which accounts for approximately 59% of total inventory. For the remaining inventory, cost is determined by the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method.

## PROPERTY, BUILDINGS AND EQUIPMENT

Property, buildings and equipment are valued at cost. For financial statement purposes, depreciation and amortization are provided in amounts sufficient to relate the cost of depreciable assets to operations over their estimated service lives, principally on the declining-balance and sum-of-the-years-digits depreciation methods. The Company's international businesses record depreciation expense primarily on a straight-line basis. The principal estimated useful lives for determining depreciation are as follows:

Buildings, structures and improvements .....	10 to 30 years
Furniture, fixtures, machinery and equipment .....	3 to 10 years

Improvements to leased property are amortized over the initial terms of the respective leases or the estimated service lives of the improvements, whichever is shorter.

The Company capitalized interest costs of \$1 million in each of years 2013, 2012 and 2011.

---

## LONG-LIVED ASSETS

The carrying value of long-lived assets is evaluated whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the asset may be impaired. An impairment loss is recognized when estimated undiscounted future cash flows resulting from use of the asset, including disposition, are less than the carrying value of the asset. Impairment is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the fair value.

The Company recognized impairment charges of \$0.4 million, \$2 million and \$8 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, included in Warehousing, marketing and administrative expenses, to reduce the carrying value of certain long-lived assets to their estimated fair value pursuant to impairment indicators for property currently held for sale, lease terminations, idle assets and branch closures.

## CAPITALIZED SOFTWARE

The Company capitalizes certain costs related to the purchase and development of internal-use software. Amortization of capitalized software is on a straight-line basis over three and five years. Amortization begins when the software is available for its intended use. Amortization expense was \$23 million, \$16 million and \$19 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Capitalized software was \$107 million and \$72 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively and are included in Other assets and intangibles – net on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

## GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLES

Goodwill is recognized as the excess cost of an acquired entity over the net amount assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Goodwill is not amortized, but rather tested for impairment on an annual basis and more often if circumstances require. Impairment losses are recognized whenever the implied fair value of goodwill is less than its carrying value.

The Company recognizes an acquired intangible apart from goodwill whenever the intangible arises from contractual or other legal rights, or whenever it can be separated or divided from the acquired entity and sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged, either individually or in combination with a related contract, asset or liability. Such intangibles are amortized over their estimated useful lives unless the estimated useful life is determined to be indefinite. Amortizable intangible assets are being amortized primarily over useful lives of three to 22 years. The straight-line method of amortization is used as it has been determined to approximate customer attrition patterns and the use pattern of the assets. Impairment losses are recognized if the carrying amount of an intangible, subject to amortization, is not recoverable from expected future cash flows and its carrying amount exceeds its fair value.

The Company also maintains intangible assets with indefinite lives, which are not amortized. These intangibles are tested for impairment on an annual basis and more often if circumstances require. Impairment losses are recognized whenever the implied fair value of these assets is less than their carrying value. See Note 2 and Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, and accounts payable approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of these financial instruments. The carrying value of long-term debt also approximates fair value due to the variable interest rates. The fair value of the Company's qualifying derivative instruments is recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and is discussed in more detail in Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING

The Company uses derivative financial instruments to manage exposures to fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company does not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. All derivative instruments are recognized as either assets or liabilities in the balance sheet at their fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recognized in net earnings or other comprehensive earnings (losses) depending on whether the derivative is designated as part of a qualifying hedging relationship. The ineffective portion of a qualifying hedging derivative and derivatives not designated as a hedge are recognized immediately in earnings. Instruments that do not qualify for hedge accounting are marked to market with the change recognized in current period earnings. See Note 8 and Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on the Company's derivative activities.

## INSURANCE RESERVES

The Company purchases insurance for catastrophic exposures and those risks required to be insured by law. It also retains a significant portion of the risk of certain losses related to workers' compensation, general liability and property losses through the utilization of high deductibles and self-insured retentions. Reserves for these potential losses are based on an external analysis of the Company's historical claims results and other actuarial assumptions.

---

## WARRANTY RESERVES

The Company generally warrants the products it sells against defects for one year. For a significant portion of warranty claims, the manufacturer of the product is responsible for expenses. For warranty expenses not covered by the manufacturer, the Company provides a reserve for future costs based primarily on historical experience. Warranty reserves were \$4 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

## NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. Under ASU 2013-02, an entity is required to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the financial statements or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of AOCI by the respective line items of net income, but only if the amount reclassified is required to be reclassified in its entirety in the same reporting period. For amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures that provide details about those amounts. ASU 2013-02 does not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income in the financial statements. ASU 2013-02 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2012 and early adoption is permitted. The Company adopted ASU 2013-02 in the first quarter of 2013. The adoption of ASU 2013-02 did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued ASU 2013-11, Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. The guidance requires the presentation of certain unrecognized tax benefits as reductions to deferred tax assets rather than as liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. The amendments will be effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2013. Early adoption and retrospective application are permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU 2013-11 to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

## NOTE 2 – BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS

On December 3, 2013, the Company acquired Safety Solutions, Inc. With 2012 sales of \$63 million, Safety Solutions is a distributor of safety footwear, supplies and services with a strong focus on the manufacturing sector. The Company paid \$30 million for the Safety Solutions acquisition, less cash acquired. Goodwill and intangibles recorded totaled approximately \$21 million. The purchase price allocation has not been finalized and is subject to change as the Company obtains additional information during the measurement period related to the valuation of the acquired assets and liabilities.

On August 23, 2013, the Company acquired E&R Industrial Sales, Inc. With 2012 sales of approximately \$180 million, E&R Industrial is a distributor of metalworking, production supplies and MRO materials to manufacturers and industrial customers across the Midwest and Eastern United States. The Company paid \$116 million for the E&R Industrial acquisition, less cash acquired. Goodwill and intangibles recorded totaled approximately \$80 million. The purchase price allocation has not been finalized and is subject to change as the Company obtains additional information during the measurement period related to the valuation of the acquired assets and liabilities.

On April 18, 2013, the Company acquired the remaining noncontrolling interest in Grainger Colombia for \$10 million. During 2012, the Company acquired Techni-Tool, Inc., for \$43 million, less cash acquired. Goodwill recorded totaled \$10 million. Purchased identified intangible assets totaled \$20 million. Acquired intangibles primarily consist of customer relationships, trademarks and trade names. The customer relationships are amortized on a straight-line basis over 13 years and the trade names are amortized on a straight-line basis over 3 years. The acquired trademark is classified as an indefinite-lived intangible asset.

During 2012, the Company acquired AnFreixo S.A., for \$25 million, less cash acquired. Goodwill recorded totaled \$11 million. Purchased identified intangible assets totaled \$9 million. Acquired intangibles primarily consist of customer relationships and a supply contract. The 2 customer relationship intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over 11 years and 15 years, respectively. The supply contract intangible is amortized on a straight-line basis over 4 years.

During 2011, the Company acquired Fabory for \$358 million, less cash acquired. Goodwill recorded totaled \$140 million. Purchased identified intangible assets totaled \$131 million. Acquired intangibles primarily consist of customer relationships and trade names. The customer relationships are amortized on a straight-line basis over 22 years. The indefinite-lived intangible is related to the Fabory trade name and trademarks.

The results of these acquisitions are included in the Company's consolidated results from the respective dates of acquisition. Due to the immaterial nature of these transactions, both individually and in the aggregate, disclosures of amounts assigned to the acquired assets and assumed liabilities and pro forma results of operations were not considered necessary.

---

**NOTE 3 – GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

Goodwill is recognized as the excess cost of an acquired entity over the amount assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Goodwill is not amortized, but rather tested for impairment on an annual basis and more often if circumstances require. Impairment losses are recognized whenever the implied fair value of goodwill is less than its carrying value.

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by segment are as follows (in thousands of dollars):

	United States	Canada	Other Businesses	Total
Balance at January 1, 2012 .....	\$151,231	\$150,645	\$207,307	\$509,183
Acquisitions .....	23,385	—	12,466	35,851
Purchase price adjustments.....	—	—	4,523	4,523
Impairment .....	(4,177)	—	—	(4,177)
Translation .....	—	4,130	(5,840)	(1,710)
Balance at December 31, 2012 .....	<u>170,439</u>	<u>154,775</u>	<u>218,456</u>	<u>543,670</u>
Acquisitions .....	35,820	—	—	35,820
Purchase price adjustments.....	(12,900)	—	2,067	(10,833)
Impairment .....	(12,861)	—	(11,260)	(24,121)
Translation .....	—	(10,187)	(8,882)	(19,069)
Balance at December 31, 2013 .....	<u>\$180,498</u>	<u>\$144,588</u>	<u>\$200,381</u>	<u>\$525,467</u>

Business acquisitions result in the recording of goodwill and identified intangible assets which affect the amount of amortization expense and possible impairment write-downs that may occur in future periods. Grainger annually reviews goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives for impairment in the fourth quarter and when events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of these assets might exceed their current fair values. Grainger tests for goodwill impairment at the reporting unit level and performs a qualitative assessment of factors such as a reporting unit's current performance and overall economic factors to determine if it is more likely than not that the goodwill might be impaired and whether it is necessary to perform the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test. In the two-step test, Grainger compares the carrying value of assets of the reporting unit to its calculated fair value. If the carrying value of assets of the reporting unit exceeds its calculated fair value, the second step is performed, where the implied fair value of goodwill is compared to the carrying value of assets, to determine the amount of impairment.

The fair value of reporting units is calculated primarily using the discounted cash flow (DCF) method and incorporating value indicators from a market approach to evaluate the reasonableness of the resulting fair values. The DCF method incorporates various assumptions including the amount and timing of future expected cash flows, including revenues, gross margins, operating expenses, capital expenditures and working capital based on operational budgets, long range strategic plans and other estimates. The terminal value growth rate is used to calculate the value of cash flows beyond the last projected period and reflects management's best estimates for perpetual growth for the reporting units. Estimates of market-participant risk-adjusted weighted average cost of capital (WACC) are used as a basis for determining the discount rates to apply to the reporting units' future expected cash flows and terminal value.

Grainger completed the annual impairment testing using the qualitative approach for seven reporting units and the two-step quantitative test for four of these reporting units during the fourth quarter. For two of these reporting units, Grainger Lighting Services (GLS) and Grainger Brazil (GB), the two-step quantitative test indicated that the carrying value of assets exceeded the calculated fair value and step two impairment calculations were required. As part of the review of the strategic plans for GLS during the fourth quarter, Grainger evaluated the impact of planned changes to the business model and level of investment in the business. Grainger's estimates of future projected sales growth, operating earnings, and cash flows were revised to reflect lower estimates for new customers and projects. As a result of the lower projections, Grainger recognized impairment charges of \$13 million on the GLS reporting unit.

During the fourth quarter, management provided strategic plans which included changes to the business model and level of investment required for GB based on insights gained from owning the business since the acquisition in the second quarter of 2012. Grainger's assumptions were revised to reflect changes related to realization of expected deal synergies and anticipated short-term and long-term operating results of GB that were different from pre-acquisition projections. As a result of the lower performance expectations, Grainger's estimates for future projected sales growth, operating earnings, and cash flows were revised accordingly. These lowered expectations, as well as an increase in relevant risk factors that increased the discount rate used in the calculations, resulted in the calculated fair value of GB being lower than the carrying value of assets in the step one analysis. As a result of the completion of step two, Grainger recognized a goodwill impairment charge of \$11 million.

For the two other reporting units, the fair values calculated in the step one analysis exceeded the carrying value of assets and therefore the step two calculation was not required. Fabory, with \$134 million of goodwill, had a calculated fair value which exceeded the carrying value of assets by 17%. The risk of potential failure of step one of the impairment test for Fabory in future reporting periods is highly dependent upon key assumptions including the amount and timing of future expected cash flows, sales growth rates, gross margins, capital expenditures, discount rates and estimates of market-participant risk-adjusted WACC. These assumptions require considerable management judgment and are subject to uncertainty. Changes in assumptions regarding the future performance and a continued unfavorable economic environment in Europe may also have a significant impact on cash flows in the future. Given the sensitivity of the calculated fair value to changes in these key assumptions, Grainger may be required to recognize an impairment for Fabory's goodwill in the future due to changes in market conditions or other factors related to these key assumptions.

Grainger Colombia, with \$14 million of goodwill, had a calculated fair value which exceeded the carrying value of assets by 26% as of the test date.

Intangible assets included in Other assets and intangibles – net in the Consolidated Balance Sheets were comprised of the following (in thousands of dollars):

	As of December 31,					
	2013			2012		
	Gross carrying amount	Accumulated amortization	Net carrying amount	Gross carrying amount	Accumulated amortization	Net carrying amount
Amortized customer lists and relationships.....	\$350,760	\$134,889	\$215,871	\$279,068	\$124,137	\$154,931
Amortized trademarks, trade names and other .....	38,670	23,919	14,751	34,907	21,885	13,022
Non-amortized trade names.....	72,790	—	72,790	74,782	—	74,782
Total intangible assets .....	<u>\$462,220</u>	<u>\$158,808</u>	<u>\$303,412</u>	<u>\$388,757</u>	<u>\$146,022</u>	<u>\$242,735</u>

The estimated useful lives for acquired intangibles are as follows:

Customer lists and relationships.....	6 to 22 years
Amortized trademarks, trade names and other.....	3 to 17 years

Amortization expense recognized on intangible assets was \$15 million, \$13 million and \$12 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and is included in Warehousing, marketing and administrative expenses on the Consolidated Statement of Earnings.

Estimated amortization expense for future periods is as follows (in thousands of dollars):

Year	Expense
2014.....	\$ 20,257
2015.....	20,057
2016.....	18,587
2017.....	17,630
2018.....	16,580
Thereafter .....	137,511

---

**NOTE 4 – ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS**

The following table shows the activity in the allowance for doubtful accounts (in thousands of dollars):

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Balance at beginning of period.....	\$19,449	\$18,801
Provision for uncollectible accounts.....	8,855	9,504
Write-off of uncollectible accounts, net of recoveries .....	(7,942)	(9,100)
Business acquisitions, foreign currency and other .....	(266)	244
Balance at end of period.....	<u>\$20,096</u>	<u>\$19,449</u>

**NOTE 5 – INVENTORIES**

Inventories primarily consist of merchandise purchased for resale. Inventories would have been \$388 million and \$376 million higher than reported at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, if the FIFO method of inventory accounting had been used for all Company inventories. Net earnings would have increased by \$8 million, \$13 million and \$11 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, using the FIFO method of accounting. Inventory values using the FIFO method of accounting approximate replacement cost. The Company provides reserves for excess and obsolete inventory. The reserve balance was \$134 million and \$133 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

**NOTE 6 – SHORT-TERM DEBT**Lines of Credit

Foreign subsidiaries utilize lines of credit to meet business growth and operating needs. The outstanding balances were \$67 million and \$79 million, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The maximum month-end balance outstanding during the year was \$77 million and \$79 million for 2013 and 2012, respectively. The weighted average interest rates were 4.96% and 5.16% during 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the weighted average interest rates were 5.02% and 5.07%, respectively. The Company had \$109 million and \$138 million of uncommitted lines of credit denominated in foreign currencies at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Company had a committed line of credit of \$600 million in 2013 and \$400 million in 2012 for which the Company paid a commitment fee of 0.06% and 0.10% as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. This line of credit supports the issuance of commercial paper. The current line is due to expire in August 2018. There were no borrowings under this committed line of credit.

Letters of Credit

The Company had \$26 million and \$21 million of letters of credit at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, primarily related to the Company's insurance program. Letters of credit were also issued to facilitate the purchase of products. These issued amounts were \$3 million and \$4 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Letters of credit issued by the Company's international businesses were immaterial.

---

**NOTE 7 – LONG-TERM DEBT**

Long-term debt consisted of the following (in thousands of dollars):

	As of December 31,	
	2013	2012
Bank term loan.....	\$292,500	\$300,000
Euro-denominated bank term loan .....	158,067	158,328
Other .....	25,375	27,245
Less current maturities .....	(30,429)	(18,525)
	<u>\$445,513</u>	<u>\$467,048</u>

***Bank Term Loan***

In May 2012, the Company entered into a \$300 million, unsecured bank term loan, which matures in November 2016. The proceeds were used to refinance existing debt and for general corporate purposes. The Company may prepay the loan in whole or in part at its option. Quarterly principal payments began in August 2013.

At the election of the Company, the term loan shall bear interest at the Base Rate plus the Applicable Margin or the LIBOR Rate plus the Applicable Margin as defined within the term loan agreement. At December 31, 2013, the Company had elected a one-month LIBOR Interest Period. The weighted average interest rate during the year was 1.19%.

***Euro-Denominated Bank Term Loan***

In August 2011, the Company entered into a €120 million, unsecured bank term loan in connection with the acquisition of Fabory, maturing in August 2016. The Company, at its option, may prepay this term loan in whole or in part.

Payments of €2.5 million are due semi-annually, beginning February 28, 2013, with the remaining balance due at maturity. The weighted average interest rate paid during the year was 1.70%. The weighted average interest rate includes inputs from variable rates and an interest rate swap. See Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The scheduled aggregate principal payments related to long-term debt are due as follows (in thousands of dollars):

Year	Payment Amount
2014.....	\$ 30,429
2015.....	35,124
2016.....	393,886
2017.....	1,392
2018.....	1,106
Thereafter.....	14,005

The Company's debt instruments include only standard affirmative and negative covenants for debt instruments of similar amounts and structure. The Company's debt instruments do not contain financial or performance covenants restrictive to the business of the Company, reflecting its strong financial position. The Company is in compliance with all debt covenants for the year ended December 31, 2013.

**NOTE 8 – DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS**

The fair values of the Company's derivative instruments are determined by using quoted market forward rates (Level 2 inputs) and reflect the present value of the amount that the Company would pay for contracts involving the same notional amounts and maturity dates.

During the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company entered into a pay-fixed/receive floating interest rate swap with a notional value of €60 million maturing in August 2016 to partially hedge the future interest expense of the euro-denominated term loan entered into to fund a portion of the Fabory acquisition. The swap is accounted for as a cash flow hedge. The effective portion of the changes in fair value of the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive earnings (losses) and reclassified to net income when the hedged transaction affects earnings. The value of the interest-rate swap, included on the Company's balance sheet under Employment-related and other noncurrent liabilities, was \$3 million and \$4 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.



---

During the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company entered into multiple foreign currency forward contracts with a total notional value of C\$160 million maturing in September 2014. These forward contracts are designated and qualify as a hedge of an intercompany net investment in the Company's Canadian subsidiary. The Company uses the forward method of assessing hedge effectiveness for derivatives designated as hedging instruments of a net investment in a foreign subsidiary and all changes in fair value of the derivatives are reported as a component of other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of tax effects, as long as specific hedge accounting criteria are met. As of December 31, 2013, the foreign currency forward contracts were included on the Company's balance sheet under Prepaid expenses and other assets for \$1 million. As of December 31, 2012, the foreign currency forward contracts were included on the Company's balance sheet under Employment-related and other noncurrent liabilities for \$8 million.

Other foreign currency forward contracts entered into during the current and prior periods to hedge non-functional currency-denominated intercompany note receivables and forecasted U.S. dollar-denominated obligations by foreign subsidiaries of the Company were not material.

See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of the Company's Accounting Policy regarding derivative instruments and Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

## **NOTE 9 – EMPLOYEE BENEFITS**

The Company provides various retirement benefits to eligible employees, including contributions to defined contribution plans, pension benefits associated with defined benefit plans, postretirement medical benefits and other benefits. Eligibility requirements and benefit levels vary depending on employee location. Various foreign benefit plans cover employees in accordance with local legal requirements.

### Defined Contribution Plans

A majority of the Company's U.S. employees are covered by a noncontributory profit sharing plan. This plan provides for annual employer contributions based upon a formula related primarily to earnings before federal income taxes, limited to a percentage of the total eligible compensation paid to eligible employees. The annual contribution is limited to a minimum of 8% and a maximum of 18% of total eligible compensation paid to eligible employees. The profit sharing plan expense was \$173 million, \$165 million and \$156 million for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company sponsors additional defined contribution plans available to certain U.S. and foreign employees for which contributions are paid by the Company and participating employees. The expense associated with these defined contribution plans totaled \$12 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and \$9 million for 2011.

### Defined Benefit Plans and Other Retirement Plans

The Company sponsors a defined benefit plan which provides pension benefits for certain employees in the Netherlands. The annual pension benefit is based on 1.75 percent of a career average pay and years of service. The plan is insured and accordingly, all risks with respect to investments, mortality and longevity are covered by an insurance company. The assets of the plan are invested in a separate account with the insurer. A December 31 measurement date is utilized to value plan assets and obligations. Funding of the plan takes place through single premiums for obligations regarding future service years. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the pension plan was in an overfunded position with a net pension asset of \$5 million and \$6 million, respectively. The expense related to this plan was not significant to the Company in the past three years.

In certain countries, pension contributions are made to government-sponsored social security pension plans in accordance with local legal requirements. For these plans, the Company has no continuing obligations other than the payment of contributions. The cost of these programs is not significant to the Company.

### Executive Death Benefit Plan

The Executive Death Benefit Plan provides one of three potential benefits: a supplemental income benefit (SIB), an executive death benefit (EDB) or a postretirement payment. The SIB provides income continuation at 50% of total compensation, payable for ten years to the beneficiary of a participant if that participant dies while employed by the Company. The EDB provides an after-tax lump sum payment of one-time final total compensation to the beneficiary of a participant who dies after retirement. In addition, pre-2008 participants may elect to receive a reduced postretirement payment instead of the EDB. Effective January 1, 2010, the plan is not available to new participants.

The net periodic benefits costs charged to operating expenses were \$0.8 million in year 2013 and \$1 million in 2012 and 2011. The net loss (gain) recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive earnings (AOCE) was \$(1) million and \$0.2 million as of December 31, 2013, and 2012, respectively. The plan benefits are paid as they come due from the general assets of the Company. The plan benefit obligation was \$15 million as of December 31, 2013, and \$17 million as of December 31, 2012.

---

Postretirement Benefits

The Company has a postretirement healthcare benefits plan that provides coverage for a majority of its United States employees hired prior to January 1, 2013, and their dependents should they elect to maintain such coverage upon retirement. Covered employees become eligible for participation when they qualify for retirement while working for the Company. Participation in the plan is voluntary and requires participants to make contributions toward the cost of the plan, as determined by the Company.

During the fourth quarter 2012, the Company implemented plan design changes effective January 1, 2013. Employees hired after January 1, 2013 are not eligible to receive retiree health benefits. Active participants in the plan as of December 31, 2012 will remain eligible for retiree health benefits with the employee contribution structure modified for certain employees based on retirement eligibility. As a result of this plan amendment, the Company's postretirement benefit obligation declined \$84 million as of December 31, 2012.

During the fourth quarter 2012, the Company also implemented an Employer Group Waiver Plan (EGWP) and a secondary supplemental "wrap-around" plan for its Medicare eligible retiree medical plan participants and no longer applied for the Part D Retiree Drug Subsidy (RDS) effective January 1, 2013. The EGWP program does not alter the benefits expected to be provided to the plan participants and is expected to increase the level of Medicare subsidies that will offset plan costs. Accordingly, the event was recognized as an actuarial gain for the year ended December 31, 2012. As a result of this change, the Company's postretirement benefit obligation declined \$19 million as of December 31, 2012.

The "Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003" provides a federal subsidy to retiree healthcare benefit plan sponsors that provide a prescription drug benefit that is at least actuarially equivalent to that provided by Medicare. As a result of the subsidy, the Company's postretirement benefit obligation reflected a reduction of \$68 million as of December 31, 2011. As a result of the implementation of the EGWP program, the benefit obligation was no longer reduced by Part D RDS as of December 31, 2012. The subsidy reduced net periodic benefits costs by approximately \$9 million and \$7 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The net periodic benefits costs charged to operating expenses, which were valued with a measurement date of January 1 for each year, consisted of the following components (in thousands of dollars):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Service cost.....	\$10,589	\$20,058	\$15,762
Interest cost.....	8,938	12,810	13,352
Expected return on assets .....	(7,076)	(6,210)	(5,790)
Amortization of prior service credit .....	(7,412)	(495)	(495)
Amortization of transition asset .....	(143)	(143)	(143)
Amortization of unrecognized losses .....	3,724	4,827	3,269
Net periodic benefits costs .....	<u>\$ 8,620</u>	<u>\$30,847</u>	<u>\$25,955</u>

The Company has elected to amortize the amount of net unrecognized gains (losses) over a period equal to the average remaining service period for active plan participants expected to retire and receive benefits of approximately 15.6 years for 2013.

Reconciliations of the beginning and ending balances of the postretirement benefit obligation, which is calculated using a December 31 measurement date, the fair value of plan assets and the funded status of the benefit obligation follow (in thousands of dollars):

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Benefit obligation at beginning of year .....	\$246,087	\$328,912
Service cost .....	10,589	20,058
Interest cost .....	8,938	12,810
Plan participants' contributions.....	2,289	2,150
Plan amendments .....	—	(84,004)
Actuarial (gains).....	(38,476)	(28,234)
Benefits paid .....	(6,021)	(6,047)
Medicare Part D Subsidy received .....	82	442
Benefit obligation at end of year .....	<u>223,488</u>	<u>246,087</u>
Plan assets available for benefits at beginning of year .....	117,939	103,519
Actual returns on plan assets .....	25,278	13,355
Employer's contributions .....	5,029	4,962
Plan participants' contributions.....	2,289	2,150
Benefits paid .....	(6,021)	(6,047)
Plan assets available for benefits at end of year .....	<u>144,514</u>	<u>117,939</u>
Noncurrent postretirement benefit obligation .....	<u>\$ 78,974</u>	<u>\$128,148</u>

The amounts recognized in AOCE consisted of the following components (in thousands of dollars):

	As of December 31,	
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Prior service credit.....	\$ 74,556	\$ 81,968
Transition asset .....	143	286
Unrecognized losses .....	(18,006)	(78,407)
Deferred tax (liability).....	<u>(21,806)</u>	<u>(1,618)</u>
Net gains .....	<u>\$ 34,887</u>	<u>\$ 2,229</u>

The components of AOCE related to the postretirement benefit costs that will be amortized into net periodic postretirement benefit costs in 2014 are estimated as follows (in thousands of dollars):

	<u>2014</u>
Amortization of prior service credit .....	\$ (7,253)
Amortization of transition asset .....	(143)
Amortization of unrecognized losses .....	<u>1,154</u>
Estimated amount to be amortized from AOCE into net periodic postretirement benefit costs .....	<u>\$ (6,242)</u>

The benefit obligation was determined by applying the terms of the plan and actuarial models. These models include various actuarial assumptions, including discount rates, assumed rates of return on plan assets, healthcare cost trend rate and cost-sharing between the Company and the retirees. The Company evaluates its actuarial assumptions on an annual basis and considers changes in these long-term factors based upon market conditions and historical experience.

The following assumptions were used to determine net periodic benefit costs at January 1:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Discount rate .....	4.00%	4.50%	5.60%
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, net of tax .....	6.00%	6.00%	6.00%
Initial healthcare cost trend rate .....	8.00%	8.50%	9.00%
Ultimate healthcare cost trend rate .....	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
Year ultimate healthcare cost trend rate reached .....	2019	2019	2019

The following assumptions were used to determine benefit obligations at December 31:

	2013	2012	2011
	Discount rate .....	4.90%	4.00%
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, net of tax .....	5.70%	6.00%	6.00%
Initial healthcare cost trend rate .....	7.50%	8.00%	8.50%
Ultimate healthcare cost trend rate .....	4.50%	5.00%	5.00%
Year ultimate healthcare cost trend rate reached .....	2026	2019	2019

The discount rate assumptions reflect the rates available on high-quality fixed income debt instruments as of December 31, the measurement date, of each year. These rates have been selected due to their similarity to the duration of the projected cash flows of the postretirement healthcare benefit plan. As of December 31, 2013, the Company increased the discount rate from 4.0% to 4.9% to reflect the increase in the market interest rates which contributed to the decrease in the unrealized actuarial loss at December 31, 2013. The Company reviews external data and its own historical trends for healthcare costs to determine the healthcare cost trend rates. Grainger changed the duration of the healthcare trend rate to an assumed rate of increase of 7.5% declining 25 basis points a year until reaching the ultimate trend rate of 4.5% from an assumed rate of increase declining 50 basis points a year. This has the effect of moving the year that the ultimate trend rate is reached to 2026. Assumed healthcare cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the healthcare plans. A 1 percentage point change in assumed healthcare cost trend rates would have the following effects on 2013 results (in thousands of dollars):

	1 Percentage Point	
	Increase	(Decrease)
Effect on total service and interest cost .....	\$ 2,205	\$ (1,777)
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation .....	29,776	(24,196)

The Company has established a Group Benefit Trust (Trust) to fund the plan obligations and process benefit payments. All assets of the Trust are invested in equity funds designed to track to either the Standard & Poor's 500 Index (S&P 500) or the Total International Composite Index. The Total International Composite Index tracks non-U.S. stocks within developed and emerging market economies. This investment strategy reflects the long-term nature of the plan obligation and seeks to take advantage of the earnings potential of equity securities in the global markets and intends to reach a balanced allocation between U.S. and non-U.S. equities. The plan's assets are stated at fair value which represents the net asset value of shares held by the plan in the registered investment companies at the quoted market prices (Level 1 input) as of December 31 (in thousands of dollars):

	2013	2012
Registered investment companies		
Fidelity Spartan U.S. Equity Index Fund .....	\$ 67,160	\$ 51,169
Vanguard 500 Index Fund .....	67,931	51,336
Vanguard Total International Stock .....	25,034	21,739
Total Assets .....	<u>\$160,125</u>	<u>\$124,244</u>

The Company uses the long-term historical return on the plan assets and the historical performance of the S&P 500 and the Total International Composite Index to develop its expected return on plan assets. In 2013, Grainger decreased the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets from 6.0% (net of tax) to 5.7% (net of tax) based on the historical average of long-term rates of return. The required use of an expected long-term rate of return on plan assets may result in recognition of income that is greater or less than the actual return on plan assets in any given year. Over time, however, the expected long-term returns are designed to approximate the actual long-term returns and, therefore, result in a pattern of income recognition that more closely matches the pattern of the services provided by the employees.

The Company's investment policies include periodic reviews by management and trustees at least annually concerning: (1) the allocation of assets among various asset classes (e.g., domestic stocks, international stocks, short-term bonds, long-term bonds, etc.); (2) the investment performance of the assets, including performance comparisons with appropriate benchmarks; (3) investment guidelines and other matters of investment policy; and (4) the hiring, dismissal or retention of investment managers.

The funding of the trust is an estimated amount that is intended to allow the maximum deductible contribution under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (IRC), as amended. There are no minimum funding requirements and the Company intends to follow its practice of funding the maximum deductible contribution under the IRC.

The Company forecasts the following benefit payments (which include a projection for expected future employee service) for the next ten years (in thousands of dollars):

Year	Estimated Gross Benefit Payments
2014 .....	\$ 5,299
2015 .....	6,075
2016 .....	6,952
2017 .....	8,001
2018 .....	9,159
2019 – 2023 .....	65,751

#### NOTE 10 – LEASES

The Company leases certain land, buildings and equipment under noncancellable operating leases that expire at various dates through 2036. Capital leases as of December 31, 2013 are not considered material. Many of the building leases obligate the Company to pay real estate taxes, insurance and certain maintenance costs, and contain multiple renewal provisions, exercisable at the Company's option. Leases that contain predetermined fixed escalations of the minimum rentals are recognized in rental expense on a straight-line basis over the lease term. Cash or rent abatements received upon entering into certain operating leases are also recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

At December 31, 2013, the approximate future minimum lease payments for all operating leases were as follows (in thousands of dollars):

Year	Future Minimum Lease Payments
2014 .....	\$ 67,993
2015 .....	56,697
2016 .....	41,232
2017 .....	27,657
2018 .....	17,128
Thereafter .....	19,937
Total minimum payments required .....	230,644
Less amounts representing sublease income .....	(4,353)
	<u>\$226,291</u>

Rent expense, including items under lease and items rented on a month-to-month basis, was \$68 million for 2013 and 2012, and \$72 million for 2011. These amounts are net of sublease income of \$1 million for 2013 and 2012, and \$2 million for 2011.

## NOTE 11 – STOCK INCENTIVE PLANS

The Company maintains stock incentive plans under which the Company may grant a variety of incentive awards to employees and directors. In 2010, the Company's shareholders approved the 2010 Incentive Plan (Plan), which replaced all prior active plans (Prior Plans). Awards previously granted under Prior Plans will remain outstanding in accordance with their terms. A total of 5.9 million shares of common stock were reserved for issuance under the Plan. As of December 31, 2013, there were 1.9 million shares available for grant under the Plan. Non-qualified stock options, performance shares, restricted stock units and deferred stock units have been granted and are outstanding under these plans.

Pretax stock-based compensation expense was \$52 million, \$53 million and \$51 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Related income tax benefits recognized in earnings were \$17 million in 2013 and \$18 million in 2012 and 2011.

### Options

In 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company issued stock option grants to employees as part of their incentive compensation. Option awards are granted with an exercise price equal to the closing market price of the Company's stock on the last trading day preceding the date of grant. The options generally vest over three years, although accelerated vesting is provided in certain circumstances. Awards generally expire 10 years from the grant date. Transactions involving stock options are summarized as follows:

	Shares Subject to Option	Weighted Average Price Per Share	Options Exercisable
Outstanding at January 1, 2011 .....	4,881,248	\$ 77.61	<u>2,486,478</u>
Granted .....	520,327	\$149.15	
Exercised.....	(1,323,883)	\$ 63.08	
Canceled or expired.....	(117,017)	\$ 89.18	
Outstanding at December 31, 2011.....	<u>3,960,675</u>	\$ 91.53	<u>1,808,667</u>
Granted .....	404,111	\$203.96	
Exercised.....	(972,015)	\$ 74.14	
Canceled or expired.....	(34,055)	\$105.36	
Outstanding at December 31, 2012.....	<u>3,358,716</u>	\$109.95	<u>1,629,468</u>
Granted .....	348,054	\$245.95	
Exercised.....	(805,235)	\$ 85.75	
Canceled or expired.....	(51,080)	\$150.15	
Outstanding at December 31, 2013.....	<u>2,850,455</u>	\$132.67	<u>1,652,417</u>

At December 31, 2013, there was \$13 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested option awards, which the Company expects to recognize over a weighted average period of 1.9 years.

The following table summarizes information about stock options exercised (in thousands of dollars):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Fair value of options exercised .....	\$ 16,407	\$ 18,120	\$ 20,933
Total intrinsic value of options exercised.....	124,752	126,138	124,441
Fair value of options vested .....	20,219	15,551	13,549
Settlements of options exercised .....	69,049	72,066	83,504

Information about stock options outstanding and exercisable as of December 31, 2013, is as follows:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding				Options Exercisable			
	Number	Weighted Average		Intrinsic Value (000's)	Number	Weighted Average		Intrinsic Value (000's)
		Remaining Contractual Life	Exercise Price			Remaining Contractual Life	Exercise Price	
\$48.12 – \$ 78.86	458,381	2.19 years	\$ 67.44	\$ 86,183	458,381	2.19 years	\$ 67.44	\$ 86,183
\$81.49 – \$ 85.82	637,830	4.66 years	\$ 83.21	109,838	637,830	4.66 years	\$ 83.21	109,838
\$91.61 – \$110.54	526,054	6.29 years	\$107.24	77,950	526,054	6.29 years	\$107.24	77,950
\$124.93 – \$204.24	884,682	7.76 years	\$173.26	72,685	26,730	7.52 years	\$159.78	2,557
\$245.86 – \$262.14	343,508	9.31 years	\$245.95	3,254	3,422	9.16 years	\$245.86	33
	<u>2,850,455</u>	6.09 years	\$132.67	<u>\$349,910</u>	<u>1,652,417</u>	4.55 years	\$ 88.06	<u>\$276,561</u>

The Company uses a binomial lattice option pricing model for the valuation of stock options. The weighted average fair value of options granted in 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$51.30, \$43.98 and \$33.95, respectively. The fair value of each option granted in 2013, 2012 and 2011 used the following assumptions:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Risk-free interest rate.....	0.9%	1.1%	2.6%
Expected life.....	6 years	6 years	6 years
Expected volatility.....	25.5%	25.9%	24.6%
Expected dividend yield.....	1.5%	1.6%	1.8%

The risk-free interest rate is selected based on yields from U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with a remaining term approximately equal to the expected term of the options being valued. The expected life selected for options granted during each year presented represents the period of time that the options are expected to be outstanding based on historical data of option holder exercise and termination behavior. Expected volatility is based upon implied and historical volatility of the Company's closing stock price over a period equal to the expected life of each option grant. Historical Company information is also the primary basis for selection of expected dividend yield assumptions.

### Performance Shares

The Company awards performance-based shares to certain executives. Receipt of Company stock is contingent upon the Company meeting sales growth and return on invested capital (ROIC) performance goals. Each participant is granted a base number of shares. At the end of the performance period, the number of shares granted will be increased, decreased or remain the same based upon actual Company-wide sales versus target sales. The shares, as determined at the end of the performance period, are issued at the end of the third year if the Company's average target ROIC is achieved during the vesting period.

Performance share value is based upon closing market prices on the last trading day preceding the date of award and is charged to earnings on a ratable basis over the three-year period based on the number of shares expected to vest. Holders of performance share awards are not entitled to receive cash payments equivalent to cash dividends. If the performance shares vest, they will be settled by the Company's issuance of common stock in exchange for the performance shares on a one-for-one basis. The following table summarizes the transactions involving performance-based share awards:

	2013		2012		2011	
	Shares	Weighted Average Price Per Share	Shares	Weighted Average Price Per Share	Shares	Weighted Average Price Per Share
Beginning nonvested shares						
outstanding .....	117,979	\$141.86	192,740	\$109.16	177,120	\$ 84.74
Issued.....	31,553	\$191.36	28,639	\$177.75	96,236	\$127.43
Canceled.....	(7,659)	\$148.25	(1,666)	\$114.41	(13,056)	\$ 87.24
Vested .....	(84,340)	\$130.35	(101,734)	\$ 90.47	(67,560)	\$ 72.86
Ending nonvested shares						
outstanding .....	<u>57,533</u>	<u>\$185.02</u>	<u>117,979</u>	<u>\$141.86</u>	<u>192,740</u>	<u>\$109.16</u>

At December 31, 2013, there was \$5 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to performance-based share awards that the Company expects to recognize over a weighted average period of 1.7 years.

### Restricted Stock Units (RSUs)

RSUs granted vest over periods from three to seven years from issuance, although accelerated vesting is provided in certain instances. Holders of RSUs are entitled to receive cash payments equivalent to cash dividends and other distributions paid with respect to common stock. RSUs are settled by the issuance of the Company's common stock on a one-for-one basis. Compensation expense related to RSUs is based upon the closing market price on the last trading day preceding the date of award and is charged to earnings on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. The following table summarizes RSU activity:

	2013		2012		2011	
	Shares	Weighted Average Price Per Share	Shares	Weighted Average Price Per Share	Shares	Weighted Average Price Per Share
Beginning nonvested units ....	978,888	\$118.60	1,119,488	\$100.76	1,205,787	\$ 88.65
Issued .....	139,529	\$248.28	152,995	\$204.26	242,212	\$152.55
Canceled .....	(54,533)	\$141.48	(37,972)	\$123.01	(92,202)	\$ 89.57
Vested .....	(324,167)	\$ 89.62	(255,623)	\$ 88.36	(236,309)	\$ 86.13
Ending nonvested units .....	<u>739,717</u>	<u>\$154.09</u>	<u>978,888</u>	<u>\$118.60</u>	<u>1,119,488</u>	<u>\$100.76</u>
Fair value of shares vested (in millions).....	<u>\$29</u>		<u>\$23</u>		<u>\$20</u>	

At December 31, 2013, there was \$54 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested RSUs that the Company expects to recognize over a weighted average period of 3.3 years.



### Director Stock Awards

The Company's Board of Directors receives both cash and deferred stock units (DSUs) for its services. A DSU is the economic equivalent of a share of common stock. The directors were each awarded \$125,000 of DSUs in 2013 and \$115,000 in 2012 and 2011. The number of units granted was based on the 200-day average stock price as of January 31 of the grant year. Compensation expense related to the DSUs is based upon the closing market price on the last trading day preceeding the date of award. DSUs vest immediately at grant and are entitled to receive dividends and other distributions with respect to common stock, which are deferred as stock units, based on the market value of the stock at relevant times. Directors can also elect to defer their cash fees in the form of DSUs. Settlement of DSUs is required to be deferred until after termination of service as a director. The accumulated value of DSUs is recorded in Additional contributed capital as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. During 2012, the Board approved a change in the settlement procedure to eliminate the cash settlement option. As of December 31, 2011, the outstanding DSUs were recorded as a liability in Employment-related and other noncurrent liabilities and the Company recognized expense for appreciation in value of equivalent stock units based on the market price of the Company's common stock in 2012 and 2011. The following table summarizes DSU activity (dollars in thousands):

	2013		2012		2011	
	Units	Dollars	Units	Dollars	Units	Dollars
Beginning balance.....	151,775	\$ 30,952	142,797	\$ 26,730	130,377	\$ 18,006
Dividends.....	2,259	559	2,273	454	2,244	350
Deferred fees.....	7,337	2,059	9,170	1,871	12,601	1,878
Retirement distribution.....	(2,503)	(507)	(2,465)	(461)	(2,425)	(335)
Unit appreciation.....	—	—	—	2,358	—	6,831
Ending balance.....	<u>158,868</u>	<u>\$ 33,063</u>	<u>151,775</u>	<u>\$ 30,952</u>	<u>142,797</u>	<u>\$ 26,730</u>

### **NOTE 12 – CAPITAL STOCK**

The Company had no shares of preferred stock outstanding as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. The activity related to outstanding common stock and common stock held in treasury was as follows:

	2013		2012	
	Outstanding Common Stock	Treasury Stock	Outstanding Common Stock	Treasury Stock
Balance at beginning of period.....	69,478,495	40,180,724	69,962,852	39,696,367
Exercise of stock options, net of 5,134, and 5,310 shares swapped in stock-for-stock exchange, respectively.....	800,101	(800,101)	966,705	(966,705)
Settlement of restricted stock units, net of 135,341 and 121,353 shares retained, respectively.....	232,483	(232,483)	225,997	(225,997)
Settlement of performance share units, net of 39,874 and 23,590 shares retained, respectively.....	61,860	(61,860)	44,051	(44,051)
Purchase of treasury shares.....	(1,719,001)	1,719,001	(1,721,110)	1,721,110
Balance at end of period.....	<u>68,853,938</u>	<u>40,805,281</u>	<u>69,478,495</u>	<u>40,180,724</u>

**NOTE 13 – ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE EARNINGS**

The following table sets forth the components of Accumulated other comprehensive earnings (in thousands of dollars):

	As of December 31,	
	2013	2012
Foreign currency translation adjustments .....	\$ 2,900	\$ 85,231
Derivative instruments .....	(1,944)	(11,965)
Postretirement benefit plan.....	56,693	3,847
Other employment-related benefit plans.....	(11,467)	(9,835)
Deferred tax (liability) asset.....	(30,374)	(11,184)
Total accumulated other comprehensive earnings .....	15,808	56,094
Less: Foreign currency translation adjustments attributable to noncontrolling interest ....	(13,106)	2,516
Total accumulated other comprehensive earnings attributable to W.W. Grainger, Inc. ....	<u>\$ 28,914</u>	<u>\$ 53,578</u>

The change in comprehensive earnings on the Postretirement benefit plan was primarily due to an increase in the discount rate. See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

**NOTE 14 – INCOME TAXES**

The Company recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements or tax returns. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse.

Income tax expense consisted of the following (in thousands of dollars):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Current provision:			
Federal .....	\$398,593	\$324,848	\$275,489
State .....	42,526	40,508	49,098
Foreign .....	52,277	53,564	45,405
Total current.....	493,396	418,920	369,992
Deferred tax provision (benefit).....	(13,546)	20	15,123
Total provision.....	<u>\$479,850</u>	<u>\$418,940</u>	<u>\$385,115</u>

Earnings before income taxes by geographical area consisted of the following (in thousands of dollars):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
United States .....	\$1,167,558	\$ 982,220	\$ 917,820
Foreign .....	120,041	135,569	133,707
	<u>\$1,287,599</u>	<u>\$1,117,789</u>	<u>\$1,051,527</u>

The income tax effects of temporary differences that gave rise to the net deferred tax asset were (in thousands of dollars):

	As of December 31,	
	2013	2012
Deferred tax assets:		
Inventory .....	\$ 35,381	\$ 30,991
Accrued expenses .....	38,368	26,706
Accrued employment-related benefits .....	123,555	139,931
Foreign operating loss carryforwards.....	70,204	67,148
Other .....	<u>30,862</u>	<u>31,008</u>
Deferred tax assets.....	298,370	295,784
Less valuation allowance.....	<u>(62,825)</u>	<u>(54,434)</u>
Deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance.....	<u>\$ 235,545</u>	<u>\$ 241,350</u>
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Property, buildings and equipment .....	(38,210)	(37,876)
Intangibles .....	(119,923)	(114,955)
Software.....	(17,492)	(16,653)
Prepays.....	(18,945)	(20,509)
Other .....	<u>(17,378)</u>	<u>(19,291)</u>
Deferred tax liabilities .....	<u>(211,948)</u>	<u>(209,284)</u>
Net deferred tax asset .....	<u>\$ 23,597</u>	<u>\$ 32,066</u>
The net deferred tax asset is classified as follows:		
Current assets.....	\$ 75,819	\$ 55,967
Noncurrent assets.....	16,209	51,536
Noncurrent liabilities (foreign).....	<u>(68,431)</u>	<u>(75,437)</u>
Net deferred tax asset .....	<u>\$ 23,597</u>	<u>\$ 32,066</u>

At December 31, 2013, the Company had \$262 million of operating loss carryforwards related primarily to foreign operations. Some of the operating loss carryforwards may expire at various dates through 2023. The Company has recorded a valuation allowance, which represents a provision for uncertainty as to the realization of the tax benefits of these carryforwards. In addition, the Company recorded a valuation allowance to reflect the estimated amount of deferred tax assets that may not be realized.

The changes in the valuation allowance were as follows (in thousands of dollars):

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Beginning balance.....	\$ 54,434	\$ 53,739
Increase related to foreign net operating loss carryforwards.....	<u>8,391</u>	<u>695</u>
Ending balance.....	<u>\$ 62,825</u>	<u>\$ 54,434</u>

A reconciliation of income tax expense with federal income taxes at the statutory rate follows (in thousands of dollars):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Federal income tax at the 35% statutory rate.....	\$450,660	\$391,226	\$368,034
State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit .....	27,430	26,099	32,226
Other – net.....	1,760	1,615	(15,145)
Income tax expense .....	<u>\$479,850</u>	<u>\$418,940</u>	<u>\$385,115</u>
Effective tax rate.....	<u>37.3%</u>	<u>37.5%</u>	<u>36.6%</u>

In 2011, other – net included the tax benefit related to settlement of various tax reviews during 2011 and the benefit of tax law changes in Japan enacted in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries at December 31, 2013, amounted to \$386 million. No provision for deferred U.S. income taxes has been made for these subsidiaries because the Company intends to permanently reinvest such earnings in its foreign operations. If at some future date these earnings cease to be permanently invested, the Company may be subject to U.S. income taxes, foreign withholding, and other taxes on such amounts, which cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

The changes in the liability for tax uncertainties, excluding interest, are as follows (in thousands of dollars):

	2013	2012
Balance at beginning of year .....	\$40,937	\$22,760
Additions to tax positions related to the current year.....	8,396	11,369
Additions for tax positions of prior years.....	2,308	8,977
Reductions for tax positions of prior years.....	(7,242)	(1,447)
Reductions due to statute lapse .....	(18)	(737)
Settlements, audit payments, refunds – net.....	(4,064)	15
Balance at end of year .....	<u>\$40,317</u>	<u>\$40,937</u>

The Company classifies the liability for tax uncertainties in Deferred income taxes and tax uncertainties. Included in this amount are \$8 million and \$6 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, of tax positions for which the ultimate deductibility is highly certain but for which there is uncertainty about the timing of such deductibility. Any changes in the timing of deductibility of these items would not affect the annual effective tax rate but would accelerate the payment of cash to the taxing authorities to an earlier period. The reduction to tax positions of prior years in 2013 related primarily to law changes, conclusion of audits and audit settlements.

The Company regularly undergoes examination of its federal income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). The Company's federal tax returns for 2009 and 2010 are currently under audit by the IRS, and the tax years 2011 through 2013 are open. The Company is also subject to audit by state, local and foreign taxing authorities. Tax years 2002 - 2013 remain subject to state and local audits and 2006 - 2013 remain subject to foreign audits. The estimated amount of liability associated with the Company's uncertain tax positions may change within the next 12 months due to the pending audit activity, expiring statutes or tax payments. A reasonable estimate of such change cannot be made.

The Company recognizes interest expense in the provision for income taxes. During 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized an expense of \$2 million and \$1 million, respectively. During 2011, the Company recognized a net benefit of \$1 million, primarily due to settlement of audits and a statute lapse. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company accrued approximately \$4 million and \$2 million, respectively, for interest.

---

**NOTE 15 – EARNINGS PER SHARE**

The Company's unvested Restricted Stock Units and Directors' Deferred Stock Units that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends meet the criteria of a participating security. Under the two-class method, earnings are allocated between common stock and participating securities. The presentation of basic and diluted earnings per share is required only for each class of common stock and not for participating securities. As such, the Company presents basic and diluted earnings per share for its one class of common stock.

The two-class method includes an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for each class of common stock according to dividends declared and undistributed earnings for the period. The Company's reported net earnings is reduced by the amount allocated to participating securities to arrive at the earnings allocated to common stock shareholders for purposes of calculating earnings per share.

The dilutive effect of participating securities is calculated using the more dilutive of the treasury stock or the two-class method. The Company has determined the two-class method to be the more dilutive. As such, the earnings allocated to common stock shareholders in the basic earnings per share calculation is adjusted for the reallocation of undistributed earnings to participating securities to arrive at the earnings allocated to common stock shareholders for calculating the diluted earnings per share.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share under the two-class method (in thousands of dollars, except for share and per share amounts):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Net earnings attributable to W.W. Grainger, Inc. as reported .....	\$797,036	\$689,881	\$658,423
Distributed earnings available to participating securities .....	(3,304)	(3,641)	(3,216)
Undistributed earnings available to participating securities .....	(8,348)	(8,704)	(9,635)
Numerator for basic earnings per share – Undistributed and distributed earnings available to common shareholders .....	785,384	677,536	645,572
Undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities .....	8,348	8,704	9,635
Undistributed earnings reallocated to participating securities .....	(8,218)	(8,540)	(9,438)
Numerator for diluted earnings per share – Undistributed and distributed earnings available to common shareholders .....	<u>\$785,514</u>	<u>\$677,700</u>	<u>\$645,769</u>
Denominator for basic earnings per share – weighted average shares .....	69,455,507	69,811,881	69,690,854
Effect of dilutive securities .....	<u>1,120,925</u>	<u>1,369,852</u>	<u>1,485,304</u>
Denominator for diluted earnings per share – weighted average shares adjusted for dilutive securities .....	<u>70,576,432</u>	<u>71,181,733</u>	<u>71,176,158</u>
Earnings per share two-class method			
Basic .....	\$ 11.31	\$ 9.71	\$ 9.26
Diluted .....	\$ 11.13	\$ 9.52	\$ 9.07

**NOTE 16 – SEGMENT INFORMATION**

The Company has two reportable segments: the United States and Canada. The United States operating segment reflects the results of the Company's U.S. business. The Canada operating segment reflects the results for Acklands–Grainger Inc., the Company's Canadian business. Other businesses include the Company's operations in Europe, Asia, Latin America and other U.S. operations. These businesses individually do not meet the criteria of a reportable segment. Operating segments generate revenue almost exclusively through the distribution of maintenance, repair and operating supplies, as service revenues account for less than 1% of total revenues for each operating segment.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. Intersegment transfer prices are established at external selling prices, less costs not incurred due to a related party sale. The segment results include certain centrally incurred costs for shared services that are charged to the segments based upon the relative level of service used by each operating segment.

Following is a summary of segment results (in thousands of dollars):

	2013			
	United States	Canada	Other Businesses	Total
Total net sales.....	\$7,413,712	\$1,114,285	\$1,040,473	\$9,568,470
Intersegment net sales.....	(128,660)	(300)	(1,752)	(130,712)
Net sales to external customers.....	<u>7,285,052</u>	<u>1,113,985</u>	<u>1,038,721</u>	<u>9,437,758</u>
Segment operating earnings .....	1,304,175	128,768	7,599	1,440,542
Segment assets.....	2,045,564	392,147	359,007	2,796,718
Depreciation and amortization .....	116,392	14,309	19,754	150,455
Additions to long-lived assets.....	\$ 177,046	\$ 63,821	\$ 23,951	\$ 264,818
	2012			
	United States	Canada	Other Businesses	Total
Total net sales.....	\$6,925,842	\$1,105,782	\$1,006,762	\$9,038,386
Intersegment net sales.....	(87,249)	(363)	(729)	(88,341)
Net sales to external customers.....	<u>6,838,593</u>	<u>1,105,419</u>	<u>1,006,033</u>	<u>8,950,045</u>
Segment operating earnings .....	1,132,722	127,412	20,289	1,280,423
Segment assets.....	1,884,102	387,915	347,905	2,619,922
Depreciation and amortization .....	99,229	14,058	19,202	132,489
Additions to long-lived assets.....	\$ 182,985	\$ 46,330	\$ 21,611	\$ 250,926
	2011			
	United States	Canada	Other Businesses	Total
Total net sales.....	\$6,501,343	\$992,823	\$647,666	\$8,141,832
Intersegment net sales.....	(62,766)	(163)	(718)	(63,647)
Net sales to external customers.....	<u>6,438,577</u>	<u>992,660</u>	<u>646,948</u>	<u>8,078,185</u>
Segment operating earnings .....	1,066,324	107,582	30,984	1,204,890
Segment assets.....	1,845,703	335,900	331,896	2,513,499
Depreciation and amortization .....	100,017	12,840	11,035	123,892
Additions to long-lived assets.....	\$ 148,803	\$ 29,744	\$ 13,402	\$ 191,949

Following are reconciliations of the segment information with the consolidated totals per the financial statements (in thousands of dollars):

	2013	2012	2011
Operating earnings:			
Total operating earnings for reportable segments.....	\$1,440,542	\$1,280,423	\$1,204,890
Unallocated expenses.....	<u>(143,688)</u>	<u>(149,298)</u>	<u>(152,461)</u>
Total consolidated operating earnings.....	<u>\$1,296,854</u>	<u>\$1,131,125</u>	<u>\$1,052,429</u>
Assets:			
Assets for reportable segments .....	\$2,796,718	\$2,619,922	\$2,513,499
Other current and noncurrent assets .....	2,118,298	1,967,480	1,749,029
Unallocated assets.....	<u>351,312</u>	<u>427,196</u>	<u>453,534</u>
Total consolidated assets.....	<u>\$5,266,328</u>	<u>\$5,014,598</u>	<u>\$4,716,062</u>



Revenues are attributed to countries based on the ship-to location of the customer.

Unallocated expenses and unallocated assets primarily relate to the Company headquarters' support services, which are not part of any business segment, as well as intercompany eliminations. Unallocated expenses include payroll and benefits, depreciation and other costs associated with headquarters-related support services. Unallocated assets include non-operating cash and cash equivalents, certain prepaid expenses and property, buildings and equipment-net.

Assets for reportable segments include net accounts receivable and first-in, first-out inventory which are reported to the Company's Chief Operating Decision Maker. Long-lived assets consist of property, buildings, equipment and capitalized software.

Depreciation and amortization presented above includes depreciation of long-lived assets and amortization of capitalized software.

**NOTE 17 – SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)**

A summary of selected quarterly information for 2013 and 2012 is as follows (in thousands of dollars, except for per share amounts):

	2013 Quarter Ended				
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31	Total
Net sales .....	\$2,280,435	\$2,381,561	\$2,398,530	\$2,377,232	\$9,437,758
Cost of merchandise sold .....	1,248,699	1,334,577	1,347,164	1,370,835	5,301,275
Gross profit .....	1,031,736	1,046,984	1,051,366	1,006,397	4,136,483
Warehousing, marketing and administrative expenses .....	688,431	696,912	704,651	749,635	2,839,629
Operating earnings .....	343,305	350,072	346,715	256,762	1,296,854
Net earnings attributable to W.W. Grainger, Inc. ....	211,838	217,660	210,789	156,749	797,036
Earnings per share – basic .....	2.99	3.08	2.99	2.24	11.31
Earnings per share – diluted .....	\$ 2.94	\$ 3.03	\$ 2.95	\$ 2.20	\$ 11.13

  

	2012 Quarter Ended				
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31	Total
Net sales .....	\$2,193,445	\$2,249,275	\$2,281,205	\$2,226,120	\$8,950,045
Cost of merchandise sold .....	1,219,113	1,270,932	1,287,245	1,256,595	5,033,885
Gross profit .....	974,332	978,343	993,960	969,525	3,916,160
Warehousing, marketing and administrative expenses .....	669,971	664,343	739,634	711,087	2,785,035
Operating earnings .....	304,361	314,000	254,326	258,438	1,131,125
Net earnings attributable to W.W. Grainger, Inc. ....	187,516	190,704	155,394	156,267	689,881
Earnings per share – basic .....	2.63	2.68	2.19	2.21	9.71
Earnings per share – diluted (1) ...	\$ 2.57	\$ 2.63	\$ 2.15	\$ 2.17	\$ 9.52

(1) The third quarter of 2012 included a \$0.66 per share expense related to the settlement of disputes involving the GSA and USPS contracts.



---

**NOTE 18 – CONTINGENCIES AND LEGAL MATTERS**

The Company has been named, along with numerous other nonaffiliated companies, as a defendant in litigation in various states involving asbestos and/or silica. These lawsuits typically assert claims of personal injury arising from alleged exposure to asbestos and/or silica as a consequence of products purportedly distributed by the Company. In 2013, the Company was named in lawsuits relating to asbestos involving approximately 70 new plaintiffs, and lawsuits relating to asbestos and/or silica involving approximately 67 plaintiffs were dismissed with respect to the Company, typically based on the lack of product identification.

As of January 23, 2014, the Company is named in cases filed on behalf of approximately 1,929 plaintiffs in which there is an allegation of exposure to asbestos and/or silica. The Company has denied, or intends to deny, the allegations in all of the above-described lawsuits. If a specific product distributed by the Company is identified in any of these lawsuits, the Company would attempt to exercise indemnification remedies against the product manufacturer. In addition, the Company believes that a substantial number of these claims are covered by insurance. The Company has entered into agreements with its major insurance carriers relating to the scope, coverage and costs of defense of lawsuits involving claims of exposure to asbestos. While the Company is unable to predict the outcome of these lawsuits, it believes that the ultimate resolution will not have, either individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

As previously disclosed, on December 26, 2012, the Company announced that it entered into a definitive settlement agreement (the "Agreement") with the United States of America, acting through the Civil Division of the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) of certain claims related to its contracts with the General Services Administration (GSA) and the United States Postal Service. The Agreement did not contain any admission of wrongdoing by the Company. The amounts due under the Agreement have been fully paid. The Company continues to maintain a \$6 million reserve for liabilities associated with alleged claims for tax, freight and billing errors related to its GSA contract that were not covered by the Agreement and which remain unresolved.

From time to time the Company is involved in various other legal and administrative proceedings that are incidental to its business, including claims related to product liability, general negligence, contract disputes, environmental issues, wage and hour laws, intellectual property, employment practices, regulatory compliance or other matters and actions brought by employees, consumers, competitors, suppliers or governmental entities. As a government contractor selling to federal, state and local governmental entities, the Company is also subject to governmental or regulatory inquiries or audits or other proceedings, including those related to pricing compliance. It is not expected that the ultimate resolution of any of these matters will have, either individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

---

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Grainger has duly issued this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

DATE: February 27, 2014

W.W. GRAINGER, INC.

By: James T. Ryan  
James T. Ryan  
Chairman, President and  
Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of Grainger on February 27, 2014, in the capacities indicated.

James T. Ryan  
James T. Ryan  
Chairman, President and  
Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer and Director)

Ronald L. Jadin  
Ronald L. Jadin  
Senior Vice President  
and Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

Gregory S. Irving  
Gregory S. Irving  
Vice President and Controller  
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Brian P. Anderson  
Brian P. Anderson  
Director

V. Ann Hailey  
V. Ann Hailey  
Director

William K. Hall  
William K. Hall  
Director

Stuart L. Levenick  
Stuart L. Levenick  
Director

John W. McCarter, Jr.  
John W. McCarter, Jr.  
Director

Neil S. Novich  
Neil S. Novich  
Director

Michael J. Roberts  
Michael J. Roberts  
Director

Gary L. Rogers  
Gary L. Rogers  
Director

E. Scott Santi  
E. Scott Santi  
Director

James D. Slavik  
James D. Slavik  
Director

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement (Form S-8 No.'s 33-43902, 333-24215, 333-61980, 333-105185, 333-124356, 333-166345 and Form S-4 No. 33-32091) for W.W. Grainger, Inc. and in the related prospectuses of our reports dated February 27, 2014, with respect to the consolidated financial statements of W.W. Grainger, Inc. and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting of W.W. Grainger Inc., included in this Annual Report (Form 10-K) for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Ernst & Young LLP

Chicago, Illinois

February 27, 2014

I, J. T. Ryan, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of W.W. Grainger, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 27, 2014

By: J. T. Ryan

Name: J. T. Ryan

Title: Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

I, R. L. Jadin, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of W.W. Grainger, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 27, 2014

By: R. L. Jadin

Name: R. L. Jadin

Title: Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

---

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

EXHIBIT 32

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10-K of W.W. Grainger, Inc. ("Grainger") for the annual period ended December 31, 2013, (the "Report"), J. T. Ryan, as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Grainger, and R. L. Jadin, as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Grainger, each hereby certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Grainger.

J. T. Ryan

J. T. Ryan

Chairman, President and  
Chief Executive Officer

February 27, 2014

R. L. Jadin

R. L. Jadin

Senior Vice President  
and Chief Financial Officer

February 27, 2014